Polymorphism and Association of DMA Gene with Total IgY Concentration and ND Antibody Titer in IPB-D2 Chicken Line

D. Lestari^a, S. Murtini^b, N. Ulupi^a, & C. Sumantri^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Animal Production and Technology, Faculty of Animal Science, IPB University ^bDepartment of Animal Disease and Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, IPB University Jalan Agatis, Kampus IPB Dramaga Bogor 16680, Indonesia *Corresponding author: csumantri12@gmail.com (Received 07-01-2021; Revised 08-04-2021; Accepted 13-04-2021)

ABSTRACT

The DMA (DM α chain) gene, a member of non-classical MHC class II plays an important role in the process of presenting antigen peptides by producing DM protein. This study aimed to identify the polymorphism of DMA gene and their associations with disease resistance traits such as total IgY concentration and ND antibody titers in IPB-D2 chicken line. The total sample used was 101 chickens consisted of 81 IPB-D2 G0 chickens (53 female and 28 male) and 20 SENSI-1 chickens (15 female and 5 male). Blood samples were collected at 21 weeks old for genotyping, total IgY concentration analysis, and ND antibody titer analysis. SENSI-1 chickens were used as a comparison in the analysis of DMA gene polymorphism. The method for DNA polymorphism of DMA gene was direct-DNA sequencing. The total IgY concentration was analyzed using the indirect ELISA method, while the ND antibody titer used the HI test. Data were analyzed using GLM, genotypic, and haplotype mean values compared with t-test. The results showed that 4 SNPs were found, i.e., g.2328 G>A (exon 3), g.2503 A>G (intron 3), g.2612 G>A, and g.2686 G>A (exon 4). The SNPs of DMA gene were found polymorphic with three genotypes (GG, AG, and GG), and the expected SNP g.2503 A>G only had two genotypes (AA and GG). The g.2328 G>A was associated (p<0.05) with total IgY concentration. A combination of 4 SNPs formed 7 haplotypes. Haplotype 1 was associated with total IgY concentration (p<0.05), and haplotype 5 was associated with ND antibody titer (p<0.05). In conclusion, the g.2328 G>A mutation and haplotype 1 could be potentially recommended as a genetic marker for high total IgY concentration, and haplotype 5 could be potentially recommended as a genetic marker for ND antibody titer in IPB-D2 chicken line.

Keywords: IPB-D2 line chickens; DMA gene; IgY concentration; ND antibody titers

INTRODUCTION

IPB-D1 chickens are a new breed of local Indonesian chickens (Ministry of Agriculture Reg No. 693/KPTS/PK.230/M/9/2019). IPB-D1 chicken results from crossbreeding between F1 male cross-breed of Pelung chicken and Sentul chicken (PS) with F1 female cross-breed Kampong chicken and Parent Stock Cobb. The superiority of this chicken is its fast growth, which reaches 1.178 kg (female) and 1.378 (male) slaughter weight at the age of 12 weeks (Al Habib *et al.*, 2020). In addition, this chicken is also resistant to *S. pullorum* (Ulupi *et al.*, 2016) and New Castle disease (Sumantri & Darwati, 2017).

The formation of IPB-D2 chicken line is one of the efforts to increase the performance of local Indonesian chickens. IPB-D2 chickens were selected from IPB-D1 chickens according to disease resistance traits. The selection of IPB-D2 chickens is based on several immunocompetence parameters, i.e., total IgY concentration and ND antibody titer.

Immunoglobulin Yolk (IgY) is the major antibody in chicken. IgY accumulated in egg yolk provides a powerful immunity against avian pathogens to the chick (Wang et al., 2019). The IgY concentration indicates chicken's fitness, health, and nutritional state (Sun et al., 2013). Meanwhile, Newcastle disease (ND), a disease caused by the ND virus in the Paramyxoviridae family, occurs in many countries, including Indonesia (Indriani & Dharmayanti, 2016). The mortality rate caused by ND is up to 80% (Dharmayanti et al., 2014). Antibodies neutralize ND virus particles by binding them and preventing the attachment of the virus to the host cells (Kapczynski et al., 2013). Studies related to disease resistance in IPB-D1 breed chickens have been reported before. Al Habib (2020) stated that the CD1B gene is associated with high IgY concentrations and high ND antibody titers.

The genetic interaction between the host and the pathogen is a crucial factor in disease resistance. The best and the most reliable approach to control infectious disease in chickens is by improving the genetic potential of disease-resistance genes. Genetic in different breeds may be explored to study the effect of disease resistance. Related genes to disease-resistance traits in chickens can be identified through QTL (mapping of the combination of DNA variations) and marker-assisted selection (MAS). Disease-resistance genes encode antibodies, microRNA, and other materials that help the host resist the damage caused by pathogens (Dar *et al.*, 2018). In poultry, MHC genes have been associated with diseaseresistance traits (Jie & Liu, 2011).

The DMA (DM α chain) gene is a non-classical MHC-class II gene member that plays an important role in generating antigen peptides by producing DM protein (Parker & Kaufman, 2017). The DMA gene produces a DM-protein molecule that helps present exogenous antigens to CD4⁺ via MHC-class II molecules. The DM-protein molecule is encoded by α -chain DM (DMA) and β -chain DM (DMB). Briefly, DM molecules accumulate endosomally and remove peptide chains that are incompatible with MHC-class II molecules, thus allowing MHC-class II molecules to bind to specific peptides and present them to the cell surface (Lian *et al.*, 2010).

Benito et al. (2015) state that the adaptive immune response and the development of CD4⁺ cells require peptide exchange mediated by the DM molecule. Loading of internalized antigen is known to be DMdependent. The DMA gene is rich in genetic diversity related to immunity and disease resistance in chickens (LingLin et al., 2017). A study by Chazara et al. (2011) states that there are 4 SNPs in exon 3 of DMA gene, which form 9 variations of amino acids. The high variation in MHC is related to its role in the immune response, and several studies have shown positive selection at this locus. Studies related to the polymorphism and the association of MHC genes, especially DMA gene in IPB-D2 chickens, have never been reported. This study aims to identify the polymorphism of DMA gene and their associations with disease resistance traits, i.e., as total IgY concentration and ND antibody titer in IPB-D2 chickens. The results of this research are expected to produce potential markers to accelerate the selection and produce IPB-D2 chicken new line which has disease-resistance traits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal Resource and Phenotypic Measurements

The experimental procedure was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at IPB University (approval ID: 163-2019). The total sample used was 101 chickens consist of 81 IPB-D2 G0 chickens (53 female and 28 male) and 20 SENSI-1 chickens (15 female and 5 male). Blood samples were collected at 21 weeks old for genotyping, total IgY concentration analysis, and ND antibody titer analysis. SENSI-1 chickens were used as a comparison in the analysis of DMA gene polymorphism. IPB-D1 chickens were reared in an intensive system and chickens were raised until the age of 21 weeks. Chickens were kept in a cage with facilities for feeding, drinking water, laying eggs, and husks for the cage. The chicken was fed twice a day, in the morning and evening. The feed given was 100% commercial feed for DOC up to 4 weeks old and a mixture of commercial feed and rice bran with a ratio of 70:30 for 4 to 12 weeks old chickens. Chickens at 12 to 21 weeks old were given commercial feed and bran in a ratio of 60:40. Drinking water was given ad libitum.

The total IgY concentration was measured using the indirect ELISA method according to Khan *et al.* (2009). The microplates were coated with IgG goat anti-IgY (SAB3700195 Sigma-Aldrich) (with a concentration of 2.5 µgmL⁻¹) as a catching antibody, which was diluted with a bicarbonate buffer (Na₂NO₃) pH 9.6 then incubated overnight at 4 °C. The microplates were then washed three times using a phosphate-buffered saline solution and tween-20 (PBST-20, pH 7.4). Microplates were then blocked with 2% BSA 100 µL per well, then incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C. Furthermore, the microplates were washed again with PBST 0.05% three times. The 100 µL serum samples were then incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C.

After being incubated and washed three times with PBST 0.05%, 100 μ L of secondary antibody IgG rabbit anti-IgY (A9046 Sigma-Aldrich) was inserted into each well conjugated with a peroxidase enzyme, then incubated at 37 °C for 1 hour. The microplate was then washed with PBST three times, the last 100 μ L of the substrate was inserted into each well. Optical Density (OD) was read at a wavelength of 450 nm using a spectrophotometer.

The ND antibody titers were measured according to OIE (2012). The ND antibody titer test was carried out in two stages: the Haemagglutination test (HA test) and the Haemagglutination Inhibition test (HI test). The function of HA test is to identify the virus and calculate the viral titer that will be used. The HA test was carried out by filling all the U bottom microplate wells with 25 μ L of PBS. Then as much as 25 μ L of the ND virus suspension was put into the first well and homogenized with a micropipette. A total of 25 µL of the solution was taken, then transferred to the second well and homogenized with a micropipette, then 25 µL was transferred to the third well, and so on until the 11th well. In the 11th well, 25 µL was taken and discarded. Then 25 µL of PBS was inserted into each well, followed by 25 μL of 1% RBC. The microplate was then shaken and incubated at room temperature for approximately 30 minutes. The results are said to be positive when there is agglutination.

After the HA test was carried out, the HI test was carried out to determine the antibody titer held in the serum. HI test was carried out by filling all U bottom microplate wells with 25 μ L of PBS. Then 25 μ L of serum was added to the first rinse, homogenized with a micropipette. A total of 25 μ L of the solution was taken from the first well, then transferred to the second well and homogenized with a micropipette. This stage was carried out until well 12. In well 12, 25 μ L was taken and discarded. The standard virus was put into all wells as much as 25 μ L and homogenized by shaking. Then the mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. After 30 minutes, 25 μ L of 1% RBC was added to each well. The microplate was shaken and then incubated for about 30 minutes, then the results were

read. The HI test results were obtained after the hemagglutination inhibition reaction occurred in the positive control wells, and the final limit of the complete agglutination inhibition was the antibody titer produced.

Polymorphism Analysis

DNA extraction used the phenol-chloroform method based on Butler (2010). The target of DMA gene amplification was exon 3 and exon 4 (based on ENSGALG0000000158). Primers were designed using PrimerStat. The primers were as follows: 5'CATTCCCACCGATGTGTC-3 'for forwarding primer and 5' CTGCTGTCTCCATTGTCC-3 'for reverse primer. The PCR product was 601 bp. DNA amplification was carried out in a thermocycler machine (Applied Biosystem 9700). Cycles applied were predenaturation at 95 °C for 1 minute, denaturation at 95 °C for 15 seconds, annealing at 56 °C for 15 seconds, extension at 72 °C for 10 seconds, and final extension at 72 °C for 1 minute. PCR products were observed using UV Transilluminator (BioradTM, California, USA). DNA fragment results were then confirmed by direct DNA sequencing (1st Base in Selangor, Malaysia). The results of DMA gene sequencing were analyzed using MegaX, FinchTV, and Popgen32.

Data Analysis

Genetic polymorphism was analyzed by genotype and allele frequencies, Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium, and Heterozygosity. The associations of DMA gene polymorphism with total IgY concentrations and ND antibody titer were analyzed using SAS 9.4 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The haplotype diversity was analyzed using DnaSP6. The statistical model used the General Linear Model (GLM), and the mean genotype and haplotype values were compared with the t-test. The statistical model for the GLM are:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + X_i + \mathcal{E}_{ij}$$

where Y_{ij} was individual total IgY concentration and ND antibody titer, μ was overall means for total IgY concentration and ND antibody titer, X_i was the genotype effect or haplotype, and ϵ_{ij} was the random residual effect. A significant association was indicated by the P-value (p<0.05). The number of haplotypes and linkage disequilibrium measures D' and R² were calculated with DnaSP (Ching *et al.*, 2002).

RESULTS

Polymorphism of DMA Gene

The DMA gene in chickens is located on chromosome 16 with a length of 3410 bp consisting of a promoter, 4 exons, and 3 introns. The PCR technique was used to amplify DNA segments the number of millions of times. DMA gene amplification used an annealing temperature of 56°C for 15 seconds with 35 repetitions cycles. The results of DMA gene amplification produced PCR products 601 bp (Figure 1). A total of 4 SNPs were found, namely g.2328 G>A in exon 3, g.2503 A>G at intron 3, as well as g.2612 G>A and g.2686 G>A in exon 4 (Figure 2). All SNPs were classified as transition mutations. All SNP indicates a nonsynonymous or missense mutation that causes changes in amino acids g.2328 G>A valine>isoleucine, and g.2686 G>A arginine>glutamine except g.2612 G>A alanine>alanine (Figure 3, Table 1).

Genotype, Allele Frequencies, and Heterozygosity

There were 3 genotypes found at g.2328 G>A, g.2612 G>A, and g.2686 G>A, i.e., AA, AG, and GG. In contrast, at g.2503 A>G, there were only 2 genotypes found (AA and GG). Genotypes, allele frequencies, and heterozygosity are presented in Table 2. The highest genotype frequency in IPB-D2 chickens was GG genotype at g.2328 G>A and g.2686 G>A. The highest genotype frequency at SNP g.2503 A>G and g.2612 G>A was the AA genotype for both IPB-D2 and SENSI-1 chickens. All SNPs were polymorphic except SNP g.2503 A>G in SENSI-1 chickens. All SNPs were not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The Ho value was smaller than the He value on all SNPs.

Haplotype Polymorphism of DMA Gene

There were 7 haplotypes found in this study as a combination of 4 SNPs found, i.e., haplotype 1 to 7 (Table 3). Haplotype 2 is the same haplotype as the reference sequence with a haplotype frequency of 18.52%. The highest haplotype was haplotype 1, with a haplotype frequency of 44.44 %. Haplotype 1 consisted of 3 SNP, i.e., g.2328 G>A, g.2612 G>A, and g.2686 G>A. The D values ranged from -1 to 1 (Jenna and Rosenberg 2008). The R² values varied from 0.18 to 0.64.



Figure 1. The amplification product of DMA gene fragment. M= marker; 1-16= samples. The 100 bp DNA marker/ DNA ladder is designed for sizing and approximate quantification of double-stranded DNA in the range of 100 bp to 2,000 bp.

g.2328 G>A

NC_006103. 1st_BASE_3 1st_BASE_3 1st_BASE_3	1300 2310 2320 2330 2340 2350 2360 2370 2380 2390 TATCAGCTGGCAGCGGGATGGCATCCCCGATCACCGATGGCGTCACCCACC	.0000
g.2503 A	>G	
NC_006103. 614.fasta 1st_BASE_3 1st_BASE_3 1st_BASE_4	500 2510 2520 2530 2540 2550 2560 2570 2580 2590 GTGRIGCTTTGTGTCCCCCGCAGCGGTGGTGGTGGGGGGGGGGG	T T T T
g.2612 C	>A	
NC_006103. 1st_BASE_3 1st_BASE_3 1st_BASE_3 1st_BASE_3	600 2610 2620 2630 2660 2660 2670 2680 2690 CTTGGCCACGGGGGTGTGCGGCGCAGTGACGGCGCTGGGCATCCTGCTGGCACTGCTGGGGTTGGGGCTGCTGCTGCCGCCGCCGCCGCCAGTATGTGG CTTGGCCACGGGAGTGTGCGGCGCAGTGACGGCGCTGGGCATCCTGCTGGCACTGCTGGGGTTGGGGCTGCTGCTGCCGCCGCCAGCGCAGTATGTGG GTTGGCCACGGGAGTGTGCGGCGCAGTGACGGCGCTGGGCATCCTGCTGGCACTGCTGGGTTTGGGGCTGCTGCTGCTGCCGCCGCCAGCGCAGTATGTGG GTTGGCCACGGAGTGTGCGGCGCCGCGCGCGCGCGCGCGC	
g.2686 C	>A	
NC_006103. 1st_BASE_3 1st_BASE_3 1st_BASE_3 1st_BASE_3	2610 2620 2630 2640 2650 2660 2670 2680 2690 GTTGGCCACGGCGGTGTGCGGCGCAGTGACGGCGCTGGGCATCCTGCTGGCGGCTGCTGCTGCGGCGGCGCGCGC	.0000

Figure 2. Identification of α -chain DM (DMA) gene polymorphism, red box indicates each mutation.

g.2328 G>A

				410	1		42	0		4	30			440			45	0		4	60			470			480	0		4	90		
NC 006102 E ==11ma =	 TCI	· 1	 TCT	. 1 .		1			. .		1			· I ·		1			. 1 .		1			1.		1		 	. 1.		1		
NC_000103.5_gailus g	101	T	C	M	1010	G	MAC	T	F	D	D	GCC	T T	T T	T	AGC C	100	CILG	D	GAL	GUC.	T	D	V	T	D	G	V	T	C MC	T	T	V
mRNA gallus gallus	TGA	TC	TGT	ATO	GTG	GGC	AAC	ATC	TTC	ccc	cc.A	GCC	ATC.	ACT	ATC	AGC.	TGG	CAG	CGG	GAT	GGC.	ATCO	cc	TC	1cc	GAT	GGC	GTC	ACC	CAC	CTC	VCC.	TAC
	L	I	С	М	v	G	N	I	F	Ρ	Ρ	A	I	т	I	S	IJ	Q	R	D	G	I	Ρ	V	т	D	G	v	т	Н	L	т	Y
1st_BASE_3973299_633	TGA	TC	TGT	ATC	GTG	GGC	AAC	ATC	TTC	ccc	CCA	GCC	ATC.	ACT	ATC	AGC	TGG	CAG	CGG	GAT	GGC.	ATCC	cc	ATC	ACC	GAT	GGC	GTC	ACC	CAC	CTC	ACC	TAC
	L	I	С	M	v	G	N	I	F	Ρ	Ρ	A	I	Т	I	S	A	Q	R	D	G	I	Ρ	I	Т	D	G	V	т	H	L	Т	Y
1st_BASE_3973297_630	TGA	TC	TGT	ATC	GTG	GGC	AAC	ATC	TTC	ccc	CCA	GCC	ATC.	ACT	ATC	AGC.	TGG	CAG	CGG	GAT	GGC.	ATCC	cc	ATC	ICC	GAT	GGC	GTC	ACC	CAC	CTC	ACC	TAC
	L	I	С	M	V	G	N	I	F	Ρ	Ρ	A	I	Т	I	S	U	Q	R	D	G	I	Ρ	I	Т	D	G	V	Т	H	L	Т	Y
1st_BASE_3973295_621	TGA	TC	TGT	ATC	GTG	GGC	AAC	ATC	TTC	ccc	CCA	GCC	ATC.	ACT.	ATC	AGC.	TGG	CAG	CGG	GAT	GGC.	ATCC	cc	ATC	ICC	GAT	GGC	GTC	ACC	CAC	CTC	VCC.	TAC
	L	I	С	M	v	G	N	I	F	P	P	A	I	Т	I	S	U	Q	R	D	G	I	Ρ	I	Т	D	G	V	Т	H	L	Т	Y

g.2612 G>A

610 620 630 640 650 660 670 680 690 . . |1. .1. . . .

g.2686 G>A

				710			72	0		7	30			740			750	C		7	50			770			78	0	
		1				1	· · I				1 1	· · I		1					1			· · I		- 1 -		1	1		- 1 -
NC_006103.5_gallus g	CA	CTG	CT	GGGT	TTG	GGG	CTG	CTG	CTG	TCC	GCC	CGC	CGG	CGC.	AGT	ATG'	TGGG	GGAG	CAAT	rgg.	AGA	CAG	CAG	GGA	CAC.	CCG	CCC	CGT	ACT
	A	L	L	G	L	G	L	L	L	S	A	R	R	R	S	М	IJ	G	Q	IJ	R	Q	Q	G	H	P	P	R	Т
mRNA gallus gallus	CA	CTG	CT	GGGT	TTG	GGG	CTG	CTG	CTG	TCC	GCC	CGC	CGG	CGC.	AGT	ATG	TGGG	GGA	CAAT	rgg.	AGA	CAG	CAG	GGA	CAC	CCG	ccc	CGT	ACT
	A	L	L	G	L	G	L	L	L	S	A	R	R	R	S	м	IJ	G	Q	U	R	Q	Q	G	н	P	P	R	Т
1st BASE 3973299 633	CA	CTG	CT	GGGT	TTG	GGG	CTG	CTG	CTG	TCC	GCC	CGC	CAG	CGC.	AGT	ATG	TGGG	GGA	CAAT	rgg.	AGA	CAG	CAG	GGA	CAC	CCC	ccc	CGT	ACT
	A	L	L	G	L	G	L	L	L	S	A	R	Q	R	S	м	ធ	G	Q	A	R	Q	Q	G	н	Ρ	P	R	т
1st BASE 3973297 630	CA	CTG	CT	GGGT	TTG	GGG	CTG	CTG	CTG	TCC	GCC	CGC	CAG	CGC.	AGT	ATG	TGGG	GGA	CAAT	rgg.	AGA	CAG	CAG	GGA	CAC	CCG	CCC	CGT	ACT
	A	L	L	G	L	G	L	L	L	S	A	R	Q	R	S	М	IJ	G	Q	U	R	Q	Q	G	н	P	P	R	т
1st BASE 3973295 621	CA	CTG	CT	GGGT	TTG	GGG	CTG	CTG	CTG	TCC	GCC	CGC	CAG	CGC.	AGT	ATG	TGGG	GAG	CAAT	rgg.	AGA	CAG	CAG	GGA	CAC	CCG	CCC	CGT	ACT
	A	L	L	G	L	G	L	L	L	S	A	R	Q	R	S	м	IJ	G	Q	U	R	Q	Q	G	Н	P	P	R	т

Figure 3. Amino acid changes, red box indicated amino acid changes.

No	SNP	Type of mutation		Amino acid	
1	g.2328 G>A	Nonsynonymous	Valine	>	Isoleucine
2	g.2612 G>A	Synonymous	Alanine	>	Alanine
3	g.2686 G>A	Nonsynonymous	Arginine	>	Glutamine

Table 2. Genotype frequency, allele frequency, heterozygosity, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium of α-chain DM (DMA) gene polymorphism in IPB-D2 chicken line

Gei	notype freque	ncy	Allele fr	requency	~2	IJa	Ha
GG	AG	AA	G	А	- x-	по	пе
0.48	0.07	0.44	0.51	0.49	0.000^{s}	0.07	0.50
0.40	0.10	0.5	0.45	0.55	0.000^{s}	0.10	0.50
0.13	0	0.86	0.13	0.87	0.000^{s}	0	0.23
0	0	0	0	1		0	0
0.38	0.12	0.49	0.44	0.56	0.000^{s}	0.12	0.49
0.40	0.10	0.50	0.45	0.55	0.000^{s}	0.10	0.50
0.48	0.09	0.41	0.53	0.47	0.000^{s}	0.09	0.50
0.40	0.10	0.50	0.45	0.55	0.000 ^s	0.10	0.50
	GG 0.48 0.40 0.13 0 0.38 0.40 0.48 0.40	Genotype freque GG AG 0.48 0.07 0.40 0.10 0.13 0 0.13 0 0.38 0.12 0.40 0.10 0.48 0.09 0.48 0.010	Genotype frequency GG AG AA 0.48 0.07 0.44 0.40 0.10 0.5 0.13 0 0.86 0 0 0 0.38 0.12 0.49 0.40 0.10 0.50 0.48 0.09 0.41 0.40 0.10 0.50	Genotype frequency Allele fr GG AG AA G 0.48 0.07 0.44 0.51 0.40 0.10 0.5 0.45 0.13 0 0.86 0.13 0 0 0 0 0.38 0.12 0.49 0.44 0.40 0.10 0.50 0.45 0.48 0.09 0.41 0.53 0.48 0.09 0.41 0.53 0.40 0.10 0.50 0.45	Genotype frequency Allele frequency GG AG AA G A 0.48 0.07 0.44 0.51 0.49 0.40 0.10 0.5 0.45 0.55 0.13 0 0.86 0.13 0.87 0 0 0 0 1 0.38 0.12 0.49 0.44 0.56 0.40 0.10 0.50 0.45 0.55 0.48 0.09 0.41 0.53 0.47 0.40 0.10 0.50 0.45 0.55	Genotype frequency Allele frequency x^2 GG AG AA G A x^2 0.48 0.07 0.44 0.51 0.49 0.000° 0.40 0.10 0.5 0.45 0.55 0.000° 0.13 0 0.86 0.13 0.87 0.000° 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0.38 0.12 0.49 0.44 0.56 0.000° 0.40 0.10 0.50 0.45 0.55 0.000° 0.48 0.09 0.41 0.53 0.47 0.000° 0.40 0.10 0.50 0.45 0.55 0.000°	Genotype frequency Allele frequency x^2 Ho GG AG AA G A x^2 Ho 0.48 0.07 0.44 0.51 0.49 0.000 ^s 0.07 0.40 0.10 0.5 0.45 0.55 0.000 ^s 0.10 0.13 0 0.86 0.13 0.87 0.000 ^s 0 0.38 0.12 0.49 0.44 0.56 0.000 ^s 0.12 0.40 0.10 0.50 0.45 0.55 0.000 ^s 0.12 0.48 0.09 0.41 0.53 0.47 0.000 ^s 0.09 0.40 0.10 0.50 0.45 0.55 0.000 ^s 0.10

Note: Ho= observed heterozygosity; He= expected heterozygosity; s= significant (p<0.05).

Table 3. Haplotype polymorphism of α -chain DM (DMA) gene in IPB-D2 chicken line

Hanlatura		SN	IPs		$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{H}\mathbf{O}}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{H}\mathbf{O}}$ (\mathbf{O}/\mathbf{O})
парютуре	g.2328 G>A	g.2503 A>G	g.2612 G>A	g.2686 G>A	Frequency (%)
Haplotype 1	А	А	А	А	44.44
Haplotype 2	G	А	G	G	18.52
Haplotype 3	G	G	G	G	16.05
Haplotype 4	G	А	А	G	11.11
Haplotype 5	А	G	А	G	4.94
Haplotype 6	G	А	G	А	3.70
Haplotype 7	G	А	А	А	1.23
Total					100

Association of Genotypes and Haplotypes with Total IgY Concentration and ND Antibody Titer

The genotype with the highest total IgY concentration of each SNP was the AA genotype. The association of genotypes with total IgY concentration and ND antibody titer is presented in Table 4. The g.2328 G>A was associated with the total concentration of IgY in IPB-D2 chicken. The AA genotype was significantly different from the AG genotype in IPB-D2 chickens (p<0.05). The AG genotype had the highest average ND antibody titer value compared to the AA and GG genotypes.

Haplotype 1 had the highest total IgY concentration among all haplotypes and was significantly different (p<0.05) from haplotype 4 and haplotype 6. Haplotype 5 had the highest ND antibody titer among all haplotypes and was significantly different (p<0.05) from haplotype 2, but not significantly different from haplotypes 1, 3, and 4. Associations between haplotype polymorphism with total IgY concentration and ND antibody titer were presented in Table 5.

DISCUSSION

Polymorphism, Genotype, Allele Frequencies, and Heterozygosity of DMA Gene

The Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) is a gene region found in all types of vertebrates that controls immune response (Miller & Taylor, 2016). MHC in chickens is located on chromosome 16. MHC is divided into three classes, namely class I (BF), class II (BL), and class IV (BG) (Tizard, 2013). MHC class II consists of α chains and β chains expressed only by APC (Antigen Presenting Cell). MHC class II molecules present exogenous molecules derived from exogenous antigens (Chazara *et al.*, 2011). The MHC class II molecule carrying the peptide then presents the peptide to the cell surface. T cells display CD4⁺ only with MHC class II molecules (Glodsby *et al.*, 2000).

The DMA gene produces a DM protein molecule that helps present exogenous antigens to CD4⁺ via MHC class II molecules. DM molecules accumulate endo-

CNID	Constina	Disease resistance traits							
SINF	Genoupe	IgY mg mL ⁻¹ (n)	ND antibody titer log 2 HI Unit (n)						
	GG	9.33±2.41 ^{ab} (39)	3.00±2.21 (23)						
g.2328 G>A	AG	8.59±2.09 ^b (6)	4.00±1.73 (4)						
	AA	10.28±1.77 ^a (36)	3.00±2.21 (24)						
	GG	9.04±2.65 (11)	4.55±2.35 (9)						
g.2503 A>G	AG								
	AA	9.48±2.29 (70)	3.32±2.74 (46)						
	GG	9.48±2.51 (31)	3.15±2.90 (20)						
g.2612 G>A	AG	8.90±2.23 (10)	4.00±1.15 (7)						
	AA	10.06±1.82 (40)	3.70±2.97 (24)						
	GG	9.26±2.38 (39)	3.23±2.89 (21)						
g.2686 G>A	AG	9.44±2.12 (8)	6.50±2.12 (2)						
	AA	10.27±1.83 (34)	3.42±2.48 28)						

Table 4. Association between α -chain DM (DMA) gene polymorphism with total IgY concentration and Newcastle disease (ND) antibody titer in IPB-D2 chicken line

Note: Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly between genotypes (p<0.05).

Table 5. Haplotype association with total IgY concentration and Newcastle disease (ND) antibody titer in IPB-2 chicken line

Haplotype	IgY mg mL ⁻¹ (n)	ND antibody titer log 2 HI Unit (n)
Haplotype 1	10.50±1.80ª (36)	4±2.99 ^{ab} (22)
Haplotype 2	9.61±2.50 ^{ab} (16)	1.81±2.27 ^b (11)
Haplotype 3	8.98±2.51 ^{ab} (13)	4.22±2.77 ^{ab} (9)
Haplotype 4	8.21±1.77 ^b (8)	3.60±0.89 ^{ab} (5)
Haplotype 5	9.54±2.16 ^{ab} (4)	5.00±2.44 ^a (4)
Haplotype 6	7.93±0.86 ^b (3)	1.00±0.00 (1)
Haplotype 7	9.64±0.0 (1)	0

Note: Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly between haplotypes (p<0.05).

somally and remove peptide chains that are incompatible with MHC class II molecules, thus allowing MHC class II to bind to specific peptides and present them to the cell surface (Lian *et al.*, 2010). MHC in chickens is associated with the immune response to antigen synthesis, serum albumin, total IgY levels, and cell-to-cell response. Genes in MHC class II control the interaction of T cells, B cells, and macrophage cells in humoral immune response and cellular immunity (Bharathi *et al.*, 2016).

Based on the results of the analysis of DMA gene polymorphism, 4 SNPs were found; namely g.2328 G>A in exon 3, g.2503 A>G in intron 3, and g.2612 G>A and g.2686 G>A in exon 4. The highest genotype frequency was AA at g.2503 A>G and g.2612 G>A in IPB-D2 and SENSI-1 chickens. Genotype frequency is the number of individuals with a particular genotype in a population divided by the total number in that population (Eybpoosh, 2018). The highest allele frequency at SNP g.2328 G>A and g.2686 G>A in IPB-D2 chickens was the G allele around 50%. Whereas at SNP g.2503 A>G and g.2612 G>A, the A allele was about 86% and 55%, respectively. Allele frequency is the proportion of a variant of a gene (allele) in a given locus among all alleles in the population. Based on the allele frequency of all SNP in IPB-D2 are polymorphic. SNP is categorized as polymorphic if the allele frequency is ≤ 0.99 in a large population and ≤ 0.95 in a small population (Allendorf & Luikart, 2007). Both allele and genotype frequencies are proportion in nature, meaning they can hold a range between 0 and 1.

All SNPs have lower Ho than He indicating that inbreeding has occurred in IPB-D2 and SENSI-1 chicken populations. If the observed heterozygosity is lower than expected, it indicates the existence of inbreeding (Zhao *et al.*, 2019). High heterozygosity means lots of genetic variabilities, and low heterozygosity means little genetic variability. As well as the chi-square test (x2) that indicates Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, all SNPs were not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium that indicates inbreeding has occurred in IPB-D2 chicken SENSI-1 chicken population. A population is in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium if there is no mutation, random mating, large population size, and natural selection (Eybpoosh, 2018).

Based on the result (Table 1), all SNPs are classified as transition mutations. Transition is substitution between A and G (purines) or between C dan T (pyrimidines) (Graur, 2003). A mutation is a change in the nucleotide sequence in coding portions of the DNA which may alter the amino acid sequences of proteins or a change in non-coding regions of DNA which has the potential for changing expression of the gene, for example, by altering the strength of a promoter (Mahdieh & Rabbani, 2013). It causes changes in the amino acids valine>isoleucine, arginine>glutamine. Mutations that cause changes in amino acids are called non-synonymous or missense mutations (Hamilton, 2009).

Association of Genotypes and Haplotypes with Total IgY Concentration and ND Antibody Titer

The total concentration of IgY in IPB-D2 chickens was between 8-10 mg mL⁻¹. There are three types of immunoglobulins in chickens, namely IgM, IgA, and IgY. IgY or yolk immunoglobulin is the main antibody in chickens. IgY accumulates in egg yolks and is used as

Table 6. Linkage values estimated in the disequilibrium analysis among four SNPs identified in the α -chain DM (DMA) gene in IPB-D2 chicken line

SNP ^a	SNP 1/2	SNP 1/3	SNP 1/4	SNP 2/3	SNP 2/4	SNP 3/4
D'	-1.00	-1.00	0.80	1.00	-1.00	-0.80
R ²	0.18	0.60	0.64	0.30	0.18	0.39

Note: SNP^a= estimated LD values (D' and R²) between polymorphism pairs; SNP 1= g.2328 G>A; SNP 2= g.2503 A>G; SNP 3= g.2612 G>A; SNP 4= g.2686 G>A.

the main antibody in chicks against pathogens (Wang, 2019). According to Oberlander et al. (2020), the concentration of each immunoglobulin are IgY 5-10 mg mL⁻¹, IgM 1-2 mg mL⁻¹, and IgA around 3 mg mL⁻¹.

Genotype AA at g.2328 G>A was significantly different (p<0.05) from the AG genotype. The AA genotype on each SNP had a higher mean IgY concentration compared to the other genotypes. The AA genotype on each SNP can be used as an indicator of high IgY concentrations.

The antibody titer of chicken IPB-D2 was in the moderate to protective category. According to Rahman et al. (2017), antibody titer level for ND 3 log 2 HI unit is categorized as a moderate protective level while $\geq 4 \log$ 2 HI unit is categorized as a protective level for chickens that are vaccinated. Antibodies neutralize ND virus particles by binding and preventing attachment of the virus to host cells (Kapczynski et al., 2013). Cell-mediated immunity (CMI) is a specific adaptive immunity mediated by T lymphocytes and has been suggested to be an important factor in developing protection in chickens vaccinated against NDV. CMI derived from inactivated vaccines appear to be stimulated through CD4⁺ lymphocytes and MHC class II presentation that drive antibody formation likely through directed cytokine secretion (Kapczynski et al., 2013). The AG genotype has an average ND antibody titer value higher than the other genotypes at SNP g.2328 G>A, g.2612 G>A, and g.2686 G>A in IPB-D2 chickens with ND antibody titers above 4 log 2 HI unit. The association of DMA gene diversity with ND antibody titers showed that all SNPs in IPB-D2 chickens were not associated with ND antibody titers (p>0.05).

All SNPs 4 SNPs combined into 7 haplotypes. The haplotype is the combination of alleles at loci found on a single chromosome or DNA molecule (Allendorf & Luikart, 2007). The number of haplotypes formed in a locus depends on the number of SNPs, frequency, and their recombination rate (Stumpf, 2004). In this study, haplotype 2 is a wild type. Haplotype 1 was the haplotype with the highest total IgY concentration. Nucleotide changes in exon 3 (g.2328 G>A) and exon 4 (g.2612 G>A and g.2686 G>A) of the DMA gene predicted caused haplotype 1 to have the highest total IgY concentration.

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) is the non-random association of alleles at two or more loci. LD is used to determine the evolutionary forces that contribute to the genetic variation in a population. There are two commonly used measures of LD. The D' measure is a standardized LD value that is dependent on allele frequencies. The R² is defined as the square correlation co-efficient between two loci (Khanyile *et al.*, 2015). The estimated values of LD analysis among four SNP are shown in Table 6. The D' values varied from -1.00 to 1.00. The D' values ranged from -1 to 1 (Jenna & Rosenberg, 2008). The R² values varied from 0.18 to 0.64. The D' value between SNP 2 and 3 was 1.00 with a small R² 0.3. Further studies such as DMA gene expression and 3D protein structure analysis are needed to strengthen these findings.

CONCLUSION

The DMA gene was polymorphic in IPB-D2 chickens. Four SNPs of DMA gene in IPB-D2 were found, i.e., g.2328 G>A, g.2503 A>G, g.2612 G>A, and g.2686 G>A. SNP g.2328 G>A was associated with total IgY concentration. A combination of four SNP formed 7 haplotypes. The present finding showed that haplotype 1 could be used as a parameter in the selection program of high total IgY concentration and haplotype 5 for high ND antibody titers.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Cece Sumantri serves as an editor of the Tropical Animal Science Journal, but has no role in the decision to publish this article. The authors have no conflict of interest with any personal, financial, or other relationship with people or other organizations regarding the material discussed in the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by the Directorate of Research and Community Services, Deputy for Strengthening Research and Development, Ministry of Research, Technology/ National Research and Innovation Agency of The Republic of Indonesia in the PMDSU Program (Contract No. 077/SP2H/LT/ DRPM/2021).

REFERENCES

- Al-Habib, M. F., S. Murtini, A. Gunawan, N. Ulupi, & C. Sumantri. 2020. Polymorphism of CD1B gene and its association with yolk immunoglobulin (IgY) concentration and Newcastle disease antibody titer in IPB-D1 chicken. Trop. Anim. Sci. J. 43:197-204. https://doi.org/10.5398/ tasj.2020.43.3.197
- Al-Habib, M. F., S. Murtini, L. Cyrilla, I. I. Arief, R. Mutia, & C. Sumatri. 2020. Performa pertumbuhan ayam IPB-D1 pada perlakuan pakan dan manajemen pemeliharaan yang berbeda. J. Agripet. 20:177-186. https://doi.org/10.17969/ agripet.v20i2.16375
- Allendorf, F. W. & G. H. Luikart. 2007. Conservation and The Genetics of Populations. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford.

- Benito, M. A., M. Wieczorek, J. Sticht, C. Kipar, & C. Freund. 2015. HLA-DMA polymorphisms differentially affect MHC class II peptide loading. J. Immunol. 194:803-816. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1401389
- Bharathi, I., R. Manohar, & P. Shamsudeen. 2016. Selection methods for disease resistance in poultry - An Overview. Int. J. Sci. Environ. Technol. 5:810-815.
- Butler, J. M. 2010. Fundamentals of Forensic DNA Typing. Elsevier, California.
- Chazara, O., M. Tixier-Boichard, V. Morin, R. Zoorob, & B. Bed'Hom. 2011. Organization and diversity of the class II DM region of the chicken MHC. Mol. Immunol. 48:1263-1271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2011.03.009
- Ching, A. K. S. Caldwell, M. Jung, M. Dolan, O. S. Smith, S. Tingey, M. Morgante, & A. J. Rafalski. 2002. SNP frequency, haplotype structure and linkage disequilibrium in elite maize inbred lines. BMC Genet. 3:1-14. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2156-3-19
- Dar, M. A., P. T. Mumtaz, S. A. Bhat, M. Nabi, Q. Taban, R. A. Shah, H. M. Khan, & S. M. Ahmad. 2018. Genetics of Disease Resistance in Chicken. In: X. Liu (Eds). Application of Genetics and Genomics in Poultry Science. Chapter 61277. IntechOpen, London. https://doi.org/10.5772/ intechopen.77088
- Dharmayanti, N. L. P. I., R. Hartawan, D. A. Hewajuli, & R. Inriani. 2014. Phylogenetic analysis of genotype VII of new castle disease virus in Indonesia. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res. 8:1368-1374. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR2014.6601
- Eybpoosh, S. 2018. Hardy Weinberg equilibrium testing and interpretation focus on infection. J. Med. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 6:35-36. https://doi.org/10.29252/JoMMID.6.1.35
- Goldsby, R. A., T. J. Kindt, & B. A. Osbourne. 2000. Kuby Immunology. WH Freeman, New York.
- **Graur, D.** 2003. Nature Encyclopedia of The Human Genome. Macmillan Publishers Ltd, New York.
- Hamilton, M. B. 2009. Population Genetics. John Wiley & Sons, UK.
- Indriani, R. & I. Dharmayanti. 2016. Respon titer antibodi dan proteksi virus Newcastle Disease genotype I, II, VI dan VII sebagai vaksin terhadap infeksi isolate virus Newcastle Disease chicken/Indonesia/GTT/11. Jurnal Biologi Indonesia 12:211-218. https://doi.org/10.14203/jbi. v12i2.2887
- Jie, H. & Y. P. Liu. 2011. Breeding for disease resistance in poultry: Opportunities with challenges. Worlds Poult. Sci. J. 67:687-696. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043933911000766
- Kapczynski, D. R., C. L. Afonso, & P. J. Miller. 2013. Immune responses of poultry to Newcastle disease virus. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 1-7.
- Khan, M., S. Jahan, M. C. Paul, D. Chakraborty, & M. R. Islam. 2009. Development of an Indirect ELISA technique towards detection of antibodies to Infectious Bursal Disease Virus (IBDV) of chickens. Bangladesh Vet. J. 43:8-16.
- Khanyile, K. S., E. F. Dzomba, & F. C. Muchadeyi. 2015. Linkage disequilibrium in the estimation of genetic and

demographic parameters of extensively raised chicken population. Worlds Poult. Sci. J. 71:505-514. https://doi. org/10.1017/S0043933915002202

- Lian, L., L. J. Qu, J. X. Zheng, C. J. Liu, Y. P. Zhang, Y. M. Cheng, G. Y. Xu, & N. Yang. 2010. Expression profiles of genes within a subregion of chicken major histocompability complex B in spleen after Marek's disease virus infection. Poult. Sci. 89:2123-2129. https://doi.org/10.3382/ ps.2010-00919
- Linglin, K., Z. Shenghan, C. Ying, Z. Pengfei, W. Yun, G. Qixin, C. Guohong, & C. Guboin. 2017. Comparative analysis of DMA gene sequences in different chicken breeds. Acta Agriculturae Zhejiangensis 29:60-565. https:// doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1004-1524.2017.04.07
- Mahdieh, N. & B. Kabbani. 2013. An overview of mutation detection methods in genetic disorders. Iran J. Pediatr. 23:375-388.
- Miller, M. M. & R. L. Taylor. 2016. Brief review of the chicken Major Histocompatibility Complex: the genes, their distribution on chromosome 16, and their contribution to disease resistance. Poult. Sci. 95:375-392. https://doi. org/10.3382/ps/pev379
- Oberlander, B., K. Failing, C. M. Jungst, N. Neuhaus, M. Kierz, & F. M. Paula-Ribes. 2020. Evaluation of Newcastle Disease antibody titers in backyard poultry in Germany with a vaccination interval of twelve weeks. PLoS ONE 15:1-14. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238068
- Parker, A. & J. Kaufman. 2017. What chickens might tell us about the MHC class II system. Immunology 46:23-29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2017.03.013
- Rahman, M. Mostafijur, Sarker, R. Deb, & M. Nooruzzaman. 2017. Evaluation of serum antibody titer level against Newcastle disease virus in vaccinated broiler chickens. AVAS 4:94-98.
- Stumpf, M. P. H. 2004. Haplotype diversity and SNP frequency dependence in the description of genetic variation. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 12:469-477. https://doi.org/10.1038/ sj.ejhg.5201179
- Tizard, I. R. 2013. Veterinary Immunology. Elsevier Saunder, China.
- **Ulupi, N., C. Sumantri, & S. Darwati.** 2016. Resistance against *Salmonella pullorum* in IPB-D1 crossbreed, kampong and commercial broiler chicken. The 1st Conference Technology on Bioscience and Social Science 2016. Universitas Andalas, Padang.
- Wang, Q., F. Wang, L. Liu, Q. Lie, R. Liu, M. Zheng, H. Cui, J. Wen, & G. Zhao. 2019. Genetic mutation analysis of high and low IgY chickens by capture sequencing. Animals 9:1-10. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9050272
- Zhao, Q., H. Sun, Z. Zhang, Z. Xu, B. S. Olesege, P. Ma, Z. Zhang, Q. Wang, & Y. Pan. 2019. Exploring the structure of haplotype blocks and genetic diversity in Chinese Indigenous pig populations for conservation purpose. Evol. Bioinform. 15:1-8. https://doi.org/10.1177/1176934318825082