

Research Article

# Effect of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, humic acid, and arbuscular mycorrhizal applications on soybean growth in ultisol of Sijunjung, West Sumatra

# Rahayu Arraudah <sup>1</sup>, Heni Purnamawati <sup>2,\*</sup>, and Munif Ghulamahdi <sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Agronomy and Horticulture Study Program, Graduate School of IPB University (Bogor Agricultural University), Jl. Meranti, Kampus IPB Dramaga, Bogor 16680, INDONESIA
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, IPB University (Bogor Agricultural University), Jl. Meranti, Kampus IPB Dramaga, Bogor 16680, INDONESIA
- \* Corresponding author ( henipurnamawati1@gmail.com)

# ABSTRACT

Soybean is an important source of cheap protein. However, an adequate supply of soybeans is still challenging in Indonesia. This research aimed to evaluate the morphological, physiological, and yield responses of soybeans from the application of calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>), humic acid, and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF). The research was conducted from December 2022 to April 2023 at the ultisol soil of Sijunjung Regency, West Sumatra. The experiment used a split-split-plot design with three replications. The first factor was AMF and without AMF application. The second factor was humic acid and without humic acid. The third factor was doses of CaCO<sub>3</sub> application: 0,  $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{3}{4}$ , and 1 time of exchangeable Al. The results showed that CaCO<sub>3</sub> application significantly affected root length, shoot dry weight, N-tissue content, soil pH, and exchangeable Al. The application of AMF affected the percentage of AMF colonization. The yield components did not show any significant differences among treatments.

However, the yield was 24.85% higher than that written in the official description. Therefore, the application of CaCO<sub>3</sub> or humic acid or AMF could be a potential solution to increase soybean yields in ultisol. It needs further evaluation in the field to support future farming practices.

Keywords: Al-exch; calcium carbonate; N-tissue content; productivity; soil pH

# INTRODUCTION

Soybeans (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) have become a favorite food for Indonesians and even the world. Soybeans are a high source of vegetable protein, processed in the form of foods such as tempeh and tofu (Astawan & Hazmi, 2016), so it is not surprising that soybeans occupy the third position after rice and corn in Indonesia's national staple food strategic commodities (ICALRRD, 2020). Globally, Indonesia is in fifth position as the country that imports the most soybeans after China, Mexico, Saudi Arabia, and Japan (ASA, 2023). The domestic agricultural crisis is one of the results of the unequal distribution of production land (BPS, 2023). The domestic agricultural crisis is one of the results of the results of the unequal distribution of production land. The average soybean production in several provinces on the island of Sumatra is still low, namely <1 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>, compared to soybean production on the islands of Java and Sulawesi which has reached 1.5 - 2 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>, which is above the national average production of 1.54 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> (BPS, 2023). Efforts to increase production have been carried out in several regions in Indonesia, one of which is West Sumatra Province.

Edited by:

Okti Syah Isyani Permatasari IPB University

#### Received:

6 February 2024 Accepted: 1 April 2024 Published online: 27 May 2024

## Citation:

Arraudah, R., Purnamawati, H., Ghulamahdi, M. (2024). Effect of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, humic acid, and arbuscular mycorrhizal applications on soybean growth in ultisol of Sijunjung, West Sumatra. Jurnal Agronomi Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Agronomy), 52(1), 110-121 Sijunjung District, West Sumatra Province has ultisol soil (Syofiani et al., 2020), about 316.9 thousand hectares dominated by bushes, plantations, forests, and rice fields (RPRDA, 2022). Ultisol land is still underutilized due to low soil fertility, high exchangeable aluminum (Al-exch) >1.44 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup> especially Al<sup>3+</sup> resulting in soil pH <5.5 that is toxic to many plants (Meriño-Gergichevich et al., 2010; Chang et al., 2015; Baquy et al., 2018). Hight Al content in soil altered the elongation of roots (Chang et al., 2015), and exhibited lower root and shoot biomass leaf xylem diameter (Reis et al., 2018).

Increasing ultisol soil fertility can be done by applying soil conditioner or ameliorant which can improve the physical, chemical (Penn & Camberato, 2019; Deressa et al., 2020; Mulyati et al., 2022; Deru et al., 2023) and biological properties of the soil (Guo et al., 2019; Arraudah et al., 2020). The ameliorant that has been widely used is limestone or calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) (Mullen et al., 2016; ICATAD, 2021). The application of limestone is dedicated to suppress Al to a level suitable for plant growth. The application depends on the type of plant and soil conditions (Mullen et al., 2016). Applying lime for soybean production can be considered from the critical values of soil pH, Al-exch, and Al saturation (Deressa et al., 2020). The critical value of Al-exch for soybean plants is 2.42 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup> and Al saturation is 22.75% (Baquy et al., 2018). The addition of CaCO<sub>3</sub> can increase the soil pH and affect the soil microbial community (Penn & Camberato, 2019; Guo et al., 2019; Deru et al., 2023). However, the use of agricultural lime in the long term will reduce soil fertility if it is not accompanied by the application of organic material. Therefore, we evaluate humic acid as an alternative to soil conditioners.

Humic acid is a macromolecular organic acid with acidic properties determined by the –COOH carboxylic and –OH phenolic groups, these groups are the most reactive in binding metal cations (Piri et al., 2019). Humic acid can change the absorption of ions in the soil (Piri et al., 2019) increase the pH of the soil, and decrease the Al<sup>3+</sup> content in acid soil (Deng et al., 2021). The use of 45 mL L<sup>-1</sup> humic acid in inoculation of 5 g AMF per plant was able to increase soil pH by 31.1%. However, the application of humic acid alone was not able to increase soybean yields in ultisol (Arraudah et al., 2020). Therefore, apart from providing soil ameliorant, it is also necessary to provide biological fertilizer so that the performance of plant roots in absorbing water and nutrients is optimal. One of the potential biofertilizers is arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF).

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are a symbiotic relationship between fungi and plant growth which plays a role in helping to provide nutrients for plants (Wahab et al., 2023). AMF can make phosphorous (P) available to plants, through organic acids produced by plant roots which will become nutrients for fungi (Oktaviani et al., 2014). AMF will play a role in increasing the rate of photosynthesis and tolerance of photosynthesis to roots (Moelyohadi et al., 2012). Appropriate application of biofertilizers and the use of appropriate soybean varieties can play an important role in promoting optimal plant growth, nodulation, nitrogen fixation, and seed yield (Htwe et al., 2019).

The benefits of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, humic acid, and AMF were evaluated to increase soybean production in ultisol soil, especially in Sijunjung, West Sumatra. This research aimed to evaluate the morphological, physiological, and yield of soybeans in response to the application of calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>), humic acid, and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF).

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The research was carried out from December 2022 to April 2023 at the community land of Pematang Panjang Village, Sijunjung District, West Sumatra at an altitude of  $\pm$  120 meters above sea level. The material used Demas 1 variety, which has been classified as resistant to acid soils (Somantri et al., 2018).

Other materials were liquid humic acid, AMF, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, insecticide, Urea, SP-36, KCl, kieserite, and cow manure. The research design used a split-split-plot randomized complete block design with three replications of three treatments with 20 experimental units. The first factor was AMF treatment (0 and 2.5 g plant<sup>-1</sup>), the second factor was humic

acid treatment (0 and 40 mL L<sup>-1</sup>), and the third factor was CaCO<sub>3</sub> dosages (0,  $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{3}{4}$ , and 1 × exchangeable aluminum).

The land was plowed and 60 plots sized 2 m  $\times$  1.5 m each were created. Composite soil was analyzed for Ph<sub>H20</sub>, Al-exch, cation exchange capacity (CEC), total nitrogen content (N-total), total phosphorous content (P-total), exchangeable potassium (K-exch), exchangeable calcium (Ca-exch), P-available and C-organic soil (Table 1). The chemical analysis of the soil was carried out before treatment. Based on analysis at the IPB University, Faculty of Agriculture Testing Laboratory, the soil pH was classified as acid with pH 4.47, and CEC was classified as high (26.66 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup>). The N-total and P-available contents were in moderate status of 0.23% and 7.54 ppm P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> respectively. The available K-available was 0.55 cmol K kg<sup>-1</sup> (high), C-organic was low (1.74%), and Al-exch was 9.73 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1).

Table 1. Soil chemicals before treatment.

| Indicators                                       | Value |  |  |
|--|-------|--|--|
| Soil pH (H <sub>2</sub> O)                       | 4.47  |  |  |
| Al-exch (cmol kg <sup>-1</sup> )                 | 9.73  |  |  |
| CEC (cmol kg <sup>-1</sup> )                     | 26.66 |  |  |
| N-total (%)                                      | 0.23  |  |  |
| K-available (cmol K kg <sup>-1</sup> )           | 0.55  |  |  |
| Ca-exch (cmol Ca kg <sup>-1</sup> )              | 5.61  |  |  |
| P-available (ppm P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) | 7.54  |  |  |
| C-organic (%)                                    | 1.74  |  |  |

*Note*: Soil sample was analyzed in the Testing Laboratory of the Agronomy and Horticulture Department of IPB University (2023).

The CaCO<sub>3</sub> application was given one month before planting, while AMF and humic acid were given at the planting hole at 2.5 g plant<sup>-1</sup> and 40 mL L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. There were two seeds per hill with a line spacing of 50 cm  $\times$  10 cm. Fertilization consisted of cow manure (10 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>, urea (25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), SP-36 (50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), KCl (50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and kieserite (50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Harvesting was at 95 days after planting when 95% of the soybean pods got brownish yellow, the leaves started to fall and the stems and pods started to dry out.

Morphological observations included plant height (3, 4, and 5 WAP), number of leaves (3, 4, and 5 WAP), vertical root length, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, and number of effective root nodules. Physiological observations included root colonization, and uptake of N, P, K, and Al in plant tissue. Observation on yield included seed weight per plant, weight of 100 seeds, seed weight per m<sup>2</sup>, total number of pods, number of empty pods, and yield productivity (tons ha<sup>-1</sup>). Yield productivity was obtained by converting yield per m<sup>2</sup> into tons ha<sup>-1</sup> with a land use efficiency used in this research of 80% of the total land area used. Environmental data such as light intensity, temperature, and rainfall were obtained from the Meteorology, Climatology, and Geophysics Agency, West Sumatra (Table 2).

Research data was analyzed using variance or F-test at an error level of 5%. Observed variables that were significantly different in the F-test were further tested using the Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) and Orthogonal Polynomials.

Table 2. Environmental climate during planting.

| Indicators  | Value                    |  |
|---|--------------------------|--|
| Rainfall (mm)                                     | 129 - 245.5              |  |
| Air temperature (°C)                              | 25.3 - 26.5              |  |
| Intensity of sunlight (cal cm <sup>-2</sup> )     | 248.8 - 305.7            |  |
| Source: Meteorology Climatology and Geophysics Ag | ency West Sumatra (2023) |  |

*Source*: Meteorology, Climatology and Geophysics Agency, West Sumatra (2023)

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The application of AMF, humic acid, and CaCO<sub>3</sub> simultaneously or the application of humic acid alone did not have a significant effect on soil chemistry, plant morphology, physiology, and yields. However, a single application of AMF had a significant effect on the percentage of AMF colonization. On the other hand, single CaCO<sub>3</sub> application had a significant effect on soil pH and soil Al-exch variables at 4 and 9 weeks after CaCO<sub>3</sub> application and N-tissue (Table 3).

Table 3. Summary of analysis of variance in response to soil chemistry, morphology, physiology, and yield of soybean plants from the application of AMF, humic acid, and CaCO<sub>3.</sub>

|  | Probability |      |            |      |      |      |      |       |
|--|-------------|------|------------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Observation variables                          | М           | Н    | С          | Мx   | Сх   | Нx   | Мx   | DC    |
|  |             |      |            | Н    | М    | С    | НхС  |       |
| Soil pH <sup>1</sup>                           | -           | -    | $0.27^{*}$ | -    | -    | -    | -    | 14.78 |
| Al-exch <sup>(1</sup> (cmol kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | -           | -    | < 0.01**   | -    | -    | -    | -    | 26.78 |
| Soil pH <sup>2</sup>                           | 0.27        | 0.36 | < 0.01**   | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.94 | 0.28 | 10.70 |
| Al-exch <sup>(2</sup> (cmol kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | 0.43        | 0.11 | < 0.01**   | 0.20 | 0.73 | 0.59 | 0.96 | 51.06 |
| Plant height (cm)                              | 0.94        | 0.38 | 0.57       | 0.38 | 0.73 | 0.08 | 0.58 | 9.75  |
| Number of leaves                               | 0.41        | 0.64 | 0.57       | 0.58 | 0.94 | 0.52 | 0.34 | 16.70 |
| Root lenght (cm)                               | 0.29        | 0.70 | < 0.01**   | 0.43 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.58 | 20.24 |
| Shoot dry weight (g)                           | 0.92        | 0.37 | 0.02*      | 0.96 | 0.54 | 0.77 | 0.86 | 32.78 |
| Root dry weight (g)                            | 0.64        | 0.30 | 0.36       | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 37.69 |
| Number of root nodule                          | 0.54        | 0.16 | 0.74       | 0.10 | 0.43 | 0.23 | 0.46 | 34.04 |
| Root nodule weight (g)                         | 0.39        | 0.10 | 0.72       | 0.15 | 0.90 | 0.43 | 0.55 | 17.69 |
| AMF colonization (%)                           | 0.03*       | 0.59 | 0.63       | 0.75 | 0.14 | 0.77 | 0.15 | 47.67 |
| N tissue (%)                                   | 0.66        | 0.81 | < 0.01**   | 0.29 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.36 | 10.06 |
| P tissue (%)                                   | 0.32        | 0.63 | 0.15       | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.72 | 0.64 | 9.29  |
| K tissue (%)                                   | 0.70        | 0.78 | 0.65       | 0.59 | 0.84 | 0.48 | 0.70 | 14.53 |
| Al tissue (%)                                  | 0.60        | 0.11 | 0.61       | 0.94 | 0.41 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 23.58 |
| Number of total pods                           | 0.51        | 0.63 | 0.22       | 0.51 | 0.99 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 25.08 |
| Number of filled pods                          | 0.05        | 0.69 | 0.16       | 0.51 | 0.99 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 24.48 |
| Yield per plant (g)                            | 0.26        | 0.62 | 0.70       | 0.40 | 0.74 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 25.90 |
| Yield per m <sup>2</sup>                       | 0.42        | 0.37 | 0.23       | 0.24 | 0.70 | 0.11 | 0.34 | 23.64 |
| Weight of 100 seeds (g)                        | 0.27        | 0.43 | 0.24       | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.90 | 0.37 | 4.88  |
| Productivity (tons ha-1)                       | 0.42        | 0.37 | 0.29       | 0.24 | 0.70 | 0.11 | 0.34 | 23.64 |

*Note*: M = FMA; H = Humic acid; C = CaCO<sub>3</sub>; DC= Diversity coefficient and \*) = indicates the results of analysis of variance are significantly different at  $\alpha$  = 5%; \*\*) = indicates the results of analysis of variance are significantly different at  $\alpha$  = 1%; 1) = 4 weeks after application of CaCO<sub>3</sub> or at planting time; <sup>2</sup>) = 9 weeks after application of CaCO<sub>3</sub> or 5 weeks after planting.

## Soil pH and Al-exch

It is known that the soil pH before being given CaCO<sub>3</sub> was 4.47 after applying CaCO<sub>3</sub> for 4 weeks, the soil pH increased to 6.76 or 51.23% from the application of CaCO<sub>3</sub>  $1 \times$  Alexch or equivalent to 9.7 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 1A). Application of CaCO<sub>3</sub> after 9 weeks also increased soil pH by 22.45%, namely 5.5 of CaCO<sub>3</sub>  $1 \times$  Alexch application or equivalent to 9.7 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 1B). The pH values meet the optimum requirements for soybeans to grow, namely at pH 6.0 – 6.8 (Walangululu et al., 2014).



Figure 1. Soil pH at (A) 4 weeks, (B) 9 weeks after application of CaCO<sub>3</sub> and Al-exch at (C) 4 weeks, and (D) 9 weeks after application of CaCO<sub>3</sub> relationship on CaCO<sub>3</sub> dosages.

Al-exch before application of CaCO<sub>3</sub> was 9.7 me 100 g<sup>-1</sup>. The application of CaCO<sub>3</sub> at  $\frac{3}{4} \times \text{Al-exch}$  or equivalent to 7.3 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> was the best treatment that was able to reduce Al-exch up to 0.2 me 100 g<sup>-1</sup>. However, the Al-exch can also be reduced up to 0.6 me 100  $g^{-1}$  by applying CaCO<sub>3</sub> at  $\frac{1}{2} \times$  Al-exch or equivalent to 4.8 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 1C). The critical value of Al-exch for soybean plants according to Baquy et al. (2018) is 2.42 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup>. When the pH is low, the solubility of Al increases in the form of Al<sup>3+</sup>; the Al<sup>3+</sup> cation is one of the sources of soil acidity because it combines with the H<sup>+</sup> ion (Fazlina et al., 2021). The principle here is that the position of the  $Al^{3+}$  cation can be replaced by  $Ca^{3+}$ . When  $CaCO_3$ is in the soil solution, it will decompose into  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $CO^{-}$ . The  $CO^{-}$  anion will react with the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O water which will increase the OH<sup>-</sup> ion content. The hydroxyl ion will bind more quickly to the Al<sup>3+</sup> cation, which makes it easier for Al to precipitate (Syahputra et al., 2015). The decrease in Al concentration results in a smaller amount of Al being hydrolyzed to produce hydrogen ions, indirectly increasing soil pH (Fazlina et al., 2021; Nurzakiah et al., 2021). In other words, the application of CaCO<sub>3</sub> is able to reduce Al-exch (Figures 1C and 1D) which is followed by an increase in soil pH (Figures 1A and 1B); in line with Deng et al. (2021). In general, from the chemical analysis carried out on soil pH and Al-exch, the dose of CaCO<sub>3</sub>  $\frac{1}{2}$  × Al-exch or equivalent to 4.8 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> has been able to be used as a recommendation to improve the chemical properties of ultisol soil in Sijunjung for soybean plants.

Nevertheless, application of CaCO<sub>3</sub> is not always advantageous. In the present research, the correlation between Al-exch and several CaCO<sub>3</sub> levels shows a quadratic pattern with the equation  $y = 7.56 - 20.76x + 13.83x^2$ . It means that adding a particular CaCO<sub>3</sub> dose of 7.56 will reduce the soil Al-exch by 20.76 times to the optimum Al-exch value of 0.2, so adding the CaCO<sub>3</sub> dose has the potential to increase the soil Al-exch value again by 13.83. The R<sup>2</sup> value 0.91 indicates that 91% of the soil Al-exch can be explained by the equation  $y = 7.56 - 20.76x + 13.83x^2$  (Figure 1C). It's important to note that applying CaCO<sub>3</sub> higher than the optimum dose may cause excessive soluble Al in the soil. Additionally, environmental conditions such as rainfall can also affect the solubility of Al in the soil. Rainfall can lead to mass flow and dissolve or precipitate compounds in the soil, including Al.

## Plant morphology

Plant morphology is a representation of the plant's ability to absorb nutrients from its environment. Morphological observations were carried out precisely at the peak of the vegetative phase or when they were about to enter the reproductive phase. This is based on root activity and nutrients generally decreasing, especially due to reduced carbohydrate supply to the roots because they are diverted to reproductive organs such as flowers, seeds, and fruit (Marschner, 2012). Plant stems have the function of transporting water and minerals from the roots to the leaves and also as a place for the growth of leaves, flowers, and fruit (Sari et al., 2015). In this study, the application of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, AMF, or humic acid did not significantly impact the height of the soybean, either in single treatments or interactions. The height of the soybean plants at 5 WAP was 34.23 cm; it was higher than in mineral soil as of 24.6 cm according to Gaol et al. (2018) but lower than 43.96 cm reported by Haitami et al. (2020) and 81.3 cm by Somantri et al. (2018). The comparison of soybean plant height between ultisol soil and normal soil with the same variety tends to balance growth in normal soil conditions from the application of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, AMF, or humic acid. Plant morphology is influenced by genetic and environmental factors. The Demas 1 variety is classified as resistant to acid soil environments (Somantri et al., 2018). Apart from that, it is also supported by the stability of the soil pH and Al-exch when planting, the soil pH has reached 6.76 (Figure 1A).

The application of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, humic acid, or AMF did not significantly affect the height and number of leaves (Table 3). On the other hand, CaCO<sub>3</sub> application independently had a significant effect on root length and shoot dry weight, but it showed a tendency to produce similar results for root dry weight, number of root nodules, and root nodules weight (Table 4).

| CaCO <sub>3</sub> (× Al-exch) | RL (cm) | RDW (g) | SDW (g)  | NRN   | RNW (g) |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|-------|---------|
| 0                             | 13.86b  | 1.64    | 11.40c   | 12.75 | 0.60    |
| 1/4                           | 17.96a  | 1.57    | 12.89bc  | 15.03 | 0.81    |
| 1/2                           | 18.28a  | 2.10    | 17.24a   | 16.94 | 0.76    |
| 3⁄4                           | 18.74a  | 1.90    | 16.48ab  | 13.17 | 0.64    |
| 1                             | 18.71a  | 1.84    | 15.59abc | 20.00 | 0.73    |

Table 4. Average root length, root dry weight, shoot dry weight, number of root nodules root nodule weight from CaCO<sub>3</sub> dosages.

*Note:* RL (root length), RDW (root dry weight), SDW (shoot dry weight), NRN (number of root nodules), RNW (root nodule weight), and values in the same column and treatment followed by the same letter are not significantly different at DMRT  $\alpha$  = 5%.

Roots play an important role in supplying nutrients from the soil to plants. Root development is influenced by the environment, like as the presence of microbes in the soil, the chemistry of soil condition or nutrition available, and the type of the root depending on the kind of plant (Tajima, 2021). During our earlier discussion, we noted that CaCO<sub>3</sub> has been effective in stabilizing soil pH conditions (Figure 1A and 1B). This has had a positive impact on the growth of roots as well, where the addition of CaCO<sub>3</sub> at all doses has resulted in better root development, measuring 17.96 – 18.71 cm as compared to 13.86 cm without CaCO<sub>3</sub> (Table 4). Root development was inhibited in the absence of CaCO<sub>3</sub> because the soil chemical conditions remained high in Al solubility (Figure 1C and 1D). High Al solubility can inhibit root elongation (Marschner, 2012) because Al will accumulate in the root apoplasm (Kinraide, 1990; Kopittke et al., 2004). Apoplasma will be responsible for cell wall synthesis (Boyer, 2009). This is where growth inhibition occurs because Al<sup>3+</sup> high affinity easily binds to the cell wall matrix (Marschner, 2012). Therefore, the solubility of Al is one of the first focuses when cultivating soybeans in acid soil, one of which is to avoid inhibiting root development.

Long-term exposure to Al might have adverse effects on the uptake of nutrients and water by plants, ultimately leading to nutritional deficiencies (Marschner, 2012).

According to Nleya et al. (2019), root development will continue until the sixth reproductive phase. The difference in CaCO<sub>3</sub> application lies not only in root length but also in shoot dry weight. As per Table 4, applying CaCO<sub>3</sub>  $\frac{1}{2}$  - 1 × Al-exch produced better shoot dry weight than 0 –  $\frac{1}{4}$  × Al-exch. However, the root dry weight, number of root nodules, and root nodule weight tended to be consistent across all CaCO<sub>3</sub> levels (Table 3). This is due to the horizontal root structure in both length and diameter, hence not all longer roots will have larger root diameters than shorter roots. This can affect the number and weight of root nodules because root nodules are formed on root hairs. However, unfortunately, the root diameter was not evaluated in this study, and the conditions in the field may yield mixed results when observing the component's root.

## Plant physiology

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are known as soil-borne fungi, part of the ancient phylum Glomeromycota (Sun et al., 2018). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi play an important role in soil-plant nutrient dynamics (Suri & Chodary, 2013). Some of the benefits of AMF in acid soil are, an increase in seed weight, and increased air content of plants help in the absorption of phosphorus, nitrogen, and potassium (Shi-chu et al., 2019; Parihar et al., 2020), increased biomass, chlorophyll content, plant nutrition (Mathur et al., 2018; Bijalwan et al., 2021), root growth and activation of antioxidant enzymes in the roots (Mathur et al., 2018), and resistance to various abiotic stresses (Sun et al., 2018). AMF colonization is located at the tips of young roots or root hairs. This observation was observed in the fifth week after planting because in this phase the rate of N2 fixation from the air by the roots increased rapidly (Nleya et al., 2019). AMF Colonization is observed under a microscope with the appearance of hyphae and/or spores in the root tissue, indicating that the roots have been infected with mycorrhiza. The percentage of AMF colonization did not show a significant effect from the administration of CaCO<sub>3</sub> or humic acid either independently or in interaction. However, there is a significant effect of giving FMA independently (Table 3). The percentage of AMF was better when given AMF 2.5 g plant<sup>-1</sup> compared to without AMF, namely 44.86% (Table 5). This colonization percentage is considered high because it is greater than 30% (O'Connor et al., 2001).

| Table 5. | Percentage of | colonization in | soybean roots | s from AMF | application |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|-------------|
|          | 0             |                 |               |            | 11          |

| AMF (g plant <sup>-1</sup> ) | Colonization of AMF (%) |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 0                            | 25.17b                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5                          | 44.86a                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                              |                         |  |  |  |  |  |

*Note*: Values in the same column and treatment followed by the same letter are not significantly different at DMRT  $\alpha$  = 5%.

Other physiological characteristics observed were the content of N (nitrogen), P (phosphorus), K (potassium), and Al (aluminum) in plant tissue. The CaCO<sub>3</sub> administration had a significant effect on N-tissue content. The application of  $1 \times$  Al-exch CaCO<sub>3</sub> gives the highest value for the N-tissue, namely 3.33%, but the application of  $\frac{1}{2} \times$  Al-exch is enough to give the same results as giving  $1 \times$  Al-exch, which is 3.21%. P-tissue content in the range 0.24 – 0.27%, K-tissue content in the range 2.68 – 2.92%, and Al-tissue content in the range 647.92 – 904.43%. Although the P-tissue and K-tissue content is not significantly different, the lowest values are obtained when CaCO<sub>3</sub> is not given. Additionally, Al-tissue content is lower when CaCO<sub>3</sub> is given (Table 6).

| CaCO <sub>3</sub> (× Al-exch) | N (%)  | P (%) | K (%) | Al (ppm) |
|-------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|
| 0                             | 2.69c  | 0.24  | 2.68  | 904.43   |
| 1/4                           | 3.04b  | 0.26  | 2.85  | 727.25   |
| 1/2                           | 3.21ab | 0.27  | 2.75  | 837.55   |
| 3/4                           | 3.10ab | 0.27  | 2.92  | 647.92   |
| 1                             | 3.33a  | 0.27  | 2.82  | 723.28   |
|                               |        |       |       | , ,      |

Table 6. Nutrient content of soybean biomass from different CaCO<sub>3</sub> dosages.

*Note*: N (N-tissue), P (P-tissue), K (K-tissue), Al (Al-tissue), and values in the same column and treatment followed by the same letter are not significantly different at DMRT  $\alpha$  = 5%.

Nitrogen is one of the essential macronutrients for plants, playing a role in the formation of proteins, nucleic acids, chlorophyll, co-enzymes, phytohormones, and secondary metabolism. Its content in plants ranges from 1-5% (Marschner, 2012). The highest N uptake was observed when the plants received  $1 \times \text{Al-exch}$ , but the uptake was still similar when they received only  $\frac{1}{2}$  or  $\frac{3}{4} \times \text{Al-exch}$ , which ranged from 3.1% to 3.21%. However, in the absence of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, the N uptake decreased to 2.69% (Table 6). This decrease can be attributed to the unstable pH and Al-exch conditions of the soil, which are still unstable in the absence of CaCO<sub>3</sub> (Figures 1A-1D). Low soil pH inhibits nodulation activity and N2 fixation (Marschner, 2012). The N uptake is also related to root and shoot function (Sari et al., 2015; Backtiar et al., 2016). It can be seen that CaCO<sub>3</sub> significantly influences root length and thus has an impact on N uptake (Table 3). Differences in N uptake capacity are caused by differences in the level of efficiency of N uptake capacity per unit root length and root weight (Puccio et al., 2021). But, in this study root weight is not significantly different from all treatments (Table 3).

The root development is linked to the activity of nodulation that is associated with AMF. However, when AMF is present along with CaCO<sub>3</sub>, it only affects the root colonization percentage (Table 3) and not the uptake of plant nutrients. This is because the effectiveness of CaCO<sub>3</sub> in providing nutrients to the plants is observed only after four weeks of planting. According to Deru et al. (2023), adding CaCO<sub>3</sub> to soil increases N mineralization. Additionally, the availability of air around plant roots greatly affects the ability of plants to absorb nutrients (He et al., 2017). It is known that, during liming or before planting, rainfall is moderate, 129 mm (Table 2), so the application of  $\frac{1}{2}$  to 1 × Alexch CaCO<sub>3</sub> works optimally in improving the chemical properties of the soil.

Aluminum is a non-essential element for soybean plants. The maximum Al content in plants is 1 mg per dry weight. When it exceeds, it will inhibit root growth and root hairs from absorbing P (Marschner, 2012). In this study, the uptake of P did not significantly differ across various levels of CaCO<sub>3</sub> provided. However, it was observed that the absence of CaCO<sub>3</sub> resulted in lower nutrient uptake compared to when CaCO<sub>3</sub> was given, particularly with regard to N-tissue and K-tissue content. This trend was inversely proportional to the Al content which tends to be higher when CaCO<sub>3</sub> is not given. This is due to the high solubility of Al before the addition of CaCO<sub>3</sub> (Figures 1C and 1D).

#### Yield components

The application of AMF, humic acid, and CaCO<sub>3</sub> did not affect the components of soybean yield, such as total number of pods, number of empty pods, weight of 100 seeds, seed weight per plant, seed weight per m<sup>2</sup>, and yield productivity (Table 7). The average number of pods per plant from all treatments was 74.29, compared with the total number of pods to empty pods (72.29) only decreasing by 2.7%. In another study, the number of empty pods per plant is around 58.6 (Somantri et al., 2018). In the present study, pod was filled well leading to fewer empty pods. Judging from the weight of 100 seeds, i.e., 10.99 g, the Demas 1 variety was classified as close to medium-seed soybeans; as those in the range of 11-13 g per 100 seeds weight (Somantri et al., 2018). The seed production per plant was 16.15 g and the seed production per m<sup>2</sup> was 391.72 g. Thus, the average yield productivity achieved was 3.13 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 7).

The soybean yield from all treatments were not significantly different. In terms of productivity, the yield gained in the present study was 24.85% higher than its potential yield as described in the official description by the Ministry of Agriculture (2.51 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>). The percentage increase in yield from AMF, humic acid, and CaCO<sub>3</sub> independently was 8.06% higher (2.71 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>), 32.3% (3.32 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>), and 42.55% (3.58 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively.

Environmental stress is a major threat to soybean (Nleya et al., 2019). The ability of soybean roots to absorb nutrients is greatly influenced by the availability of soil humidity (He et al., 2017). Such high productivity is probably due to adequate rainfall and air temperature during the research. According to Mandic et al. (2017), suitable monthly rainfall is 245.5 mm, and the suitable air temperature is 25.6 °C according to Liu et al. (2008). In general, all of the treatments showed that the separate application of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, 40 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of humic acid, and 2.5 g plant<sup>-1</sup> AMF is prospective to increase soybean yields in ultisol soil of Sijunjung. This suggests that the addition of AMF, humic acid, and CaCO<sub>3</sub> can increase soybean yield, and should be considered in future farming practices.

Table 7.Average total number of pods, number of empty pods, weight of 100 seeds, seed<br/>weight per plant, seed weight per  $m^2$  and productivity from AMF, humic acid<br/>and CaCO<sub>3</sub> treatments.

| Treatments | TNP   | NPP   | W100 (g) | SWP (g) | SWM (g) | P (tons ha-1) |
|------------|-------|-------|----------|---------|---------|---------------|
| Control    | 53.47 | 51.73 | 10.93    | 11.70   | 258.55  | 2.07          |
| C1         | 73.27 | 72.40 | 10.80    | 14.02   | 404.13  | 3.23          |
| C2         | 82.40 | 81.27 | 11.23    | 19.45   | 447.27  | 3.58          |
| C3         | 62.47 | 60.27 | 10.20    | 13.77   | 409.59  | 3.28          |
| C4         | 70.13 | 69.33 | 11.07    | 16.94   | 365.25  | 2.92          |
| Н          | 66.07 | 64.47 | 10.60    | 13.40   | 415.08  | 3.32          |
| H + C1     | 47.20 | 45.93 | 11.47    | 10.08   | 296.12  | 2.37          |
| H + C2     | 57.40 | 53.73 | 11.03    | 11.58   | 299.35  | 2.39          |
| H + C3     | 91.27 | 89.27 | 10.67    | 20.78   | 447.54  | 3.58          |
| H + C4     | 69.47 | 67.33 | 10.83    | 15.69   | 370.24  | 2.96          |
| М          | 72.80 | 70.07 | 11.00    | 16.85   | 339.05  | 2.71          |
| M + K1     | 68.87 | 65.53 | 11.47    | 14.41   | 393.47  | 3.15          |
| M + K2     | 78.93 | 77.73 | 10.97    | 17.53   | 340.36  | 2.72          |
| M + K3     | 80.07 | 80.47 | 11.40    | 15.41   | 407.56  | 3.26          |
| M + K4     | 74.00 | 72.00 | 11.60    | 15.58   | 361.60  | 2.89          |
| M + H      | 77.13 | 73.60 | 10.97    | 17.16   | 417.89  | 3.34          |
| M + H + K1 | 79.40 | 76.80 | 10.93    | 16.72   | 436.34  | 3.49          |
| M + H + K2 | 90.00 | 87.93 | 10.53    | 19.30   | 405.68  | 3.24          |
| M + H + K3 | 91.27 | 88.27 | 10.77    | 19.49   | 454.24  | 3.63          |
| M+ H + K4  | 100.2 | 97.73 | 11.23    | 23.12   | 565.17  | 4.52          |
| Average    | 74.29 | 72.29 | 10.99    | 16.15   | 391.72  | 3.13          |

*Note*: TNP (total number of pods), NPP (number of empty pods), W100 (weight of 100 seeds), (seed weight per plant), SWM (seed weight per m<sup>2</sup>), and P (productivity), control (whitout treatments), K1 ( $\frac{1}{4} \times \text{Al-exch}$ ), K2 ( $\frac{1}{2} \times \text{Al-exch}$ ), K3 ( $\frac{3}{4} \times \text{Al-exch}$ ), K4 ( $1 \times \text{Al-exch}$ ), H (40 mL L<sup>-1</sup>), M (2.5 g plant<sup>-1</sup>).

## CONCLUSIONS

Morphological characteristic of soybean was influenced by the dose of  $CaCO_3$  on the variables of root length and shoot dry weight. Physiological characteristic was also significantly influenced by the dose of  $CaCO_3$  on N-tissue content and the administration of AMF affected the percentage of AMF colonization. Soybean yield did not show any

significantly different response from the application of FMA, humic acid, and CaCO<sub>3</sub>. However, the grain production tended to be 24.85% higher than official description equal to 3.13 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>. The application of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, humic acid or AMF is prospective to increase soybean yields in Sijunjung ultisol soil, West Sumatra.

#### **ACNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors thank the Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia for funding this research through the LPDP Scholarship.

# REFERENCES

- Arraudah, R., Bertham, Y. H., Pujiwati, H., Murcitro, B. G., & Sukarjo, E. I. (2020). Application of humic acid and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to Increase growth and yields of soybean in ultisol. *TERRA: Journal of Land Restoration*, 3(2), 56–64. https://doi.org/10.31186/terra.3.2.56-64
- ASA. (2023). SOYSTATS: A reference guide to important soybean facts & figures. American Soybean Association. http://soystats.com/2023-soystats/
- Astawan, M., & Hazmi, K. (2016). Physicochemical characteristics of germinated soybean flour. (In Indonesian.). *Pangan*, *25*(2), 105–112.
- Backtiar, Ghulamahdi, M., Melati, M., Guntoro, D., & Sutanti, A. (2016). The need of nitrogen for soybean on mineral and peaty mineral soils with saturated soil culture. (In Indonesian.). *Penelitian Pertanian Tanaman Pangan.* 35(3), 217–228.
- Baquy, M., A. A., Li, J. Y., Shi, R. Y., Kamran, M. A., & Xu, R. K. (2018). Higher cation exchange capacity determined lower critical soil pH and higher Al concentration for soybean. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25, 6980–6989. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-1014-y
- Bijalwan, P., Jeddi, K., Saini, I., Sharma, M., Kaushik, P., & Hessini, K. (2021). Mitigation of saline conditions in watermelon with mycorrhiza and silicon application. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, *28*(7), 3678–3684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.05.019
- Boyer, J. S. (2009). Cell wall biosynthesis and the molecular mechanism of plant enlargement. *Functional Plant Biology*, *36*(5), 383–394. https://doi.org/10.1071/FP09048
- BPS. (2023). Analysis of maize and soybean yield in Indonesia, 2022. BPS-Statistics Indonesia. https://www.bps.go.id/id/publication/2023/12/14/ccb928c59ff95308522fefac/analisis-produktivitasjagung-dan-kedelai-di-indonesia--2022--hasil-survei-ubinan-.html
- Chang, S., Wu, J. H., Shi, G. L., Lou, L. Q., Deng, J. X., Wan, J. L. Cai, Q. S. (2015). Different aluminum tolerance among Indica, Japonica and hybrid rice varieties. *Rice Science*, *22*(3), 123–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1672-6308(14)60294-8
- Deng, A., Wu, X., Su, C., Zhao, M., Wu, B., & Luo, J. (2021). Enhancement of soil microstructural stability and alleviation of aluminium toxicity in acidic latosols via alkaline humic acid fertiliser amendment. *Chemical Geology*, 583, 120473. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2021.120473
- Deressa, A., Yli-Halla, M., Mohamed, M., & Wogi, L. (2020). Exchangeable aluminum as a measure of lime requirement of ultisols and alfisols in humid tropical Western Ethiopia. *Net Journal of Agricultural Science*, 8(3), 46–58.
- Deru, J. G. C., Hoekstra, N., van Agtmaal, M., Bloem, J., de Goede, R., Brussaard, L., & van Eekeren, N. (2023). Effects of Ca:Mg ratio and pH on soil chemical, physical and microbiological properties and grass N yield in drained peat soil. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 66(1), 61–82. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.2021.1990087
- Fazlina, M. I. S., Agung, P. A. M., Tajudin, S. A. A., Nizam, Z. M., Ahmad, M. A., & Hasan, M. F. R. (2021). Effect of dolomite lime on soil matric suction. *Applied Research on Civil Engineering and Environment (ARCEE)*, 3(1), 8– 16.
- Gaol, L. D. L., Damanik, R. I. M., & Bayu, E. S. (2018). Characteristics of several varieties of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) giving BAP, GA<sub>3</sub> and flooded. (In Indonesian.). *Jurnal Agroekoteknologi FP USU*, 6(4), 854–861.
- Guo, A., Ding, L., Tang, Z., Zhao, Z., & Duan, G. (2019). Microbial response to CaCO<sub>3</sub> application in an acid soil in southern China. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, *79*, 321–329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2018.12.007
- Haitami, A., Indrawanis, E., Ezward, C., & Wahyudi. (2020). The growth and production performance of several soybean varieties (*Glycine max* L.) in the palm oil compartment. (In Indonesian.). *Agrotekma: Jurnal Agroteknologi Dan Ilmu Pertanian*, 4(2), 73–82. https://doi.org/10.31289/agr.v4i2.3294

- He, J., Jin, Y., Du, Y. L., Wang, T., Turner, N. C., Yang, R. P., Siddique, K. H. M., & Li, F. M. (2017). Genotypic variation in yield, yield components, root morphology and architecture, in soybean in relation to water and phosphorus supply. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 1499. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01499
- Htwe, A. Z., Moh, S. M., Soe, K. M., Moe, K., & Yamakawa, T. (2019). Effects of biofertilizer produced from *Bradyrhizobium* and *Streptomyces griseoflavus* on plant growth, nodulation, nitrogen fixation, nutrient uptake, and seed yield of mung bean, cowpea, and soybean. *Agronomy*, 9(2), 77. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9020077
- ICALRRD. (2020). The strategic plan of the Indonesian Center for Agricultural Land Resources Research and Development 2020-2024. (In Indonesian.). Indonesian Center for Agricultural Land Resources Research and Development. https://bbsdlp-litbang-ppid.pertanian.go.id/doc/32/RENSTRA/Draft%20Renstra%202020-2024.pdf
- ICATAD. (2021). *Increasing the productivity of acid dry lands.* (In Indonesian.). Indonesian Center for Agricultural Technology Assessment and Development. https://repository.pertanian.go.id/handle/123456789/17468
- Kinraide, T. B. (1990). Assessing the rhizotoxicity of the aluminate ion, Al(OH)<sub>4</sub>. *Plant Physiology*, 94(4), 1620–1625. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.93.4.1620
- Kopittke, P. M., Menzies, N. W., & Fulton, I. M. (2004). Gypsum solubility in seawater, and its application to bauxite residue amelioration. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, *42*(8), 953–960. https://doi.org/10.1071/SR04034
- Liu, X., Jin, J., Wang, G., & Herbert, S. J. (2008). Soybean yield physiology and development of high-yielding practices in Northeast China. *Field Crops Research*, *105*(3), 157–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2007.09.003
- Mandic, V., Bijelic, Z., Krnjaja, V., Simic, A., Muslic, D. R., Dragicevic, V., & Petricevic, V. (2017). The rainfall use efficiency and soybean grain yield under rainfed conditions in Vojvodina. *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry*, 33(4), 475–486. https://doi.org/10.2298/bah1704475m
- Marschner, P. (2012). *Marschner's mineral nutrition of higher plants, third edition*. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2009-0-63043-9
- Mathur, S., Sharma, M. P., & Jajoo, A. (2018). Improved photosynthetic efficacy of maize (*Zea mays*) plants with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) under high temperature stress. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*, 180, 149–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2018.02.002
- Meriño-Gergichevich, C., Alberdi, M., Ivanov, A. G., & Reyes-Díaz, M. (2010). Al<sup>3+</sup>-Ca<sup>2+</sup> interaction in plants growing in acid soils: Al-phytotoxicity response to calcareous amendments. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, *10*(3), 217–243.
- Moelyohadi, Y., Harun, M. U., Munandar, Hayati, R., & Gofar, N. (2012). The use of various types of biofertilizers on cultivation of nutrient efficient corn genotypes (*Zea mays*. L) in marginal dry land. (In Indonesian.). *Jurnal Lahan Suboptimal*, 1(1), 31–39.
- Mullen, R., Lentz, E., & Watson, M. (2016). *Soil acidity and liming for agronomic production*. Ohioline. https://ohioline.osu.edu/factsheet/AGF-505-07
- Mulyati, M., Sania, A. L., Priyono, J., Baharuddin, A. B., & Tejowulani, R. S. (2022). Use of soil ameliorant and inorganic fertilizer to increase soil fertility phosphorous concentrations in plant tissue, growth and yield of shallot in dry land. *Journal of Science Education Research, 8*, 22–29. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v8ispecialissue.2498
- Nleya, T., Sexton, P., Gustafson, K., & Miller, J. M. (2019). Soybean Growth Stages. In D. E. Clay et al. (Eds.), *Best Management Practices for Soybean Production* (pp. 25-34).
- Nurzakiah, S., Sutandi, A., Djajakirana, G., Sudadi, U., & Sabiham, S. (2021). The contribution of organic acid on heterotrophic CO<sub>2</sub> flux from tropical peat: A trenching study. *Journal of Degraded and Mining Lands Management*, 9(1), 3035–3044. https://doi.org/10.15243/jdmlm.2021.091.3035
- O'Connor, P. J., Smith, S. E., & Smith, F. A. (2001). Arbuscular mycorrhizal associations in the southern Simpson Desert. *Australian Journal of Botany*, *49*(4), 493–499. https://doi.org/10.1071/BT00014
- Oktaviani, D., Hasanah, Y., & Barus, A. (2014). Soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) growth with application of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and microbe concortium. (In Indonesian.). *Jurnal Online Agroekoteknologi*, *2*(2), 905–918. https://doi.org/10.32734/jaet.v2i2.7181
- Parihar, M., Rakshit, A., Rana, K., Meena, R. P., & Chandra Joshi, D. (2020). A consortium of arbuscular mycorrizal fungi improves nutrient uptake, biochemical response, nodulation and growth of the pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) under salt stress. *Rhizosphere*, *15*, 100235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2020.100235
- Penn, C. J., & Camberato, J. J. (2019). A critical review on soil chemical processes that control how soil pH affects phosphorus availability to plants. *Agriculture*, 9(6), 120. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9060120

- Piri, M., Sepehr, E., & Rengel, Z. (2019). Citric acid decreased and humic acid increased Zn sorption in soils. *Geoderma*, 341, 39–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.12.027
- Puccio, G., Ingraffia, R., Giambalvo, D., Amato, G., & Frenda, A. S. (2021). Morphological and physiological root traits and their relationship with nitrogen uptake in wheat varieties released from 1915 to 2013. *Agronomy*, *11*(6), 1149. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11061149
- Reis, A. R. D, Lisboa, L. A. M., Reis, H. P. G., Barcelos, J. P. de Q., Santos, E. F., Santini, J. M. K., Sand, B. R. V. M., Putti, F. F., Galindo, F. S., Kaneko, F. H., Barbosa, J. Z., Paixão, A. P., Junior, E. F., de Figueiredo, P. A. M., & Lavres, J. (2018). Depicting the physiological and ultrastructural responses of soybean plants to Al stress conditions. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, *130*, 377–390. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.07.028
- RPRDA. (2022). *Regional Government Work Plan (RGWP) for 2023*. (In Indonesian). Regional Development Planning Agency of Sijunjung Regency.
- Sari, R. R. F., Aini, N., & Setyobudi, L. (2015). The effect of rhizobium and organic mulches of straw in black soybean (*Glycine max* (L) Merril) verieties Detam 1. (In Indonesian.). *Jurnal Produksi Tanaman*, *3*(8), 689–696.
- Shi-chu, L., Yong, J., Ma-bo, L., Wen-xu, Z., Nan, X., & Hui-hui, Z. (2019). Improving plant growth and alleviating photosynthetic inhibition from salt stress using AMF in alfalfa seedlings. *Journal of Plant Interactions*, 14(1), 482-491. https://doi.org/10.1080/17429145.2019.1662101
- Somantri, R. U., Syahri, & Thamrin, T. (2018). Potential of several soybean varieties in dry land of South Sumatera. In S. Herlinda et. al (Eds.), *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Lahan Suboptimal 2018* (pp. 473–481). Unsri Press.
- Sun, Z., Song, J., Xin, X., Xie, X., & Zhao, B. (2018). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal 14-3-3 proteins are involved in arbuscule formation and responses to abiotic stresses during AM symbiosis. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, 91. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00091
- Suri, V. K., & Choudhary, A. K. (2013). Effects of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae and applied phosphorus through targeted yield precision model on root morphology, productivity, and nutrient dynamics in soybean in an Acid Alfisol. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 44(17), 2587–2604. https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2013.803569
- Syahputra, D., Alibasyah, M. R., & Arabia, T. (2015). Effect of compost and dolomite on some chemical properties of ultisol and soybean yields (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) on terrace land. *Jurnal Manajemen Sumberdaya Lahan*, 4(1), 535–542.
- Syofiani, R., Putri, S. D., & Karjunita, N. (2020). Characteristics of soil properties as determining factors for agricultural potentials in the village Silokek of national geopark area. (In Indonesian.). *Jurnal Agrium*, 17(1), 1–6.
- Tajima, R. (2021). Importance of individual root traits to understand crop root system in agronomic and environmental contexts. *Breeding Science*, *71*(1), 13–19. https://doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs.20095
- Wahab, A., Muhammad, M., Munir, A., Abdi, G., Zaman, W., Ayaz, A., Khizar, C., & Reddy, S. P. P. (2023). Role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in regulating growth, enhancing productivity, and potentially influencing ecosystems under abiotic and biotic stresses. *Plants*, *12*(17), 3102. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12173102
- Walangululu, M. J., Shukuru, B. L., Bamuleke, K. D., Bashagaluke, B. J., Angelani, A. A., & Baijukya F. (2014). Response of introduced soybean varieties to inoculation with rhizobium in Sud Kivu province, Democratic Republic of Congo. In *Fourth RUFORUM Bilennial Regional Conference, Maputo, Mozambique, 19-25 July 2014* (pp. 273– 279). RUFORUM.

**Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher(s) and/or the editor(s).

**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).