# **Inhibition of** *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* **infection in whiteleg shrimp reared in a biofloc system with different volumes**

# **Penghambatan infeksi bakteri** *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* **pada udang vaname yang dipelihara pada sistem bioflok dengan volume berbeda**

# **Surandha Bako, Widanarni Widanarni\* , Julie Ekasari, Munti Yuhana**

Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, IPB University, Bogor, West Java 16680, Indonesia

\*Corresponding author: widanarni@apps.ipb.ac.id

(Received July 24, 2023; Received in revised form September 5, 2023; Accepted March 6, 2024)

# **ABSTRACT**

This study aimed to evaluate the inhibition of *V*. *parahaemolyticus* infection in the whiteleg shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*) reared in different volumes of biofloc system. Post-larval shrimp with an average body weight of 0.28  $\pm$ 0.01 g were reared in 15 aquariums with working volume of 33.3 L and stocking density of 3 shrimp per liter. The shrimp were reared for 21 days in a biofloc system (C:N ratio of 10) with biofloc volume of 5, 10, and 15 mL/L, and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus* at a density of 10<sup>3</sup> CFU/mL initially. The positive control treatment involved shrimp reared without biofloc and challenged, while the negative control treatment involved shrimp reared without biofloc and without challenged. The shrimp was fed with commercial feed while the protein content of 39-40% four times a day. The results showed that the presumptive *Vibrio* count (PVC) and the population of *V*. *parahaemolyticus* in the biofloc treatments were significantly lower than the positive control (p<0.05). Furthermore, the biofloc treatment with a volume of 15 mL/L demonstrated the best results compared to other treatments in decreasing PVC and *V*. *parahaemolyticus* population as evidenced by the immune response, survival rate, and growth performance of whiteleg shrimp.

Keywords: biofloc, immune response, *Penaeus vannamei*, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*

# **ABSTRAK**

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengevaluasi penghambatan infeksi *V*. *parahaemolyticus* pada udang vaname (*Penaeus vannamei*) yang dipelihara dalam sistem bioflok dengan volume yang berbeda. *Post-larvae* udang dengan bobot tubuh rata-rata  $0.28 \pm 0.01$  g dipelihara dalam 15 akuarium dengan volume kerja 33.3 L dan padat tebar 3 udang per liter. Udang dipelihara selama 21 hari dalam sistem bioflok (rasio C:N 10) dengan volume bioflok 5, 10, dan 15 mL/L, dan diuji tantang dengan *V*. *parahaemolyticus* pada kepadatan awal 103 CFU/mL. Perlakuan kontrol positif melibatkan udang yang dipelihara tanpa bioflok dan diuji tantang, sementara perlakuan kontrol negatif melibatkan udang yang dipelihara tanpa bioflok dan tanpa diuji tantang. Udang diberi pakan komersial dengan kandungan protein 39-40% empat kali sehari. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahwa *presumptive Vibrio count*  (PVC) dan populasi *V*. *parahaemolyticus* dalam perlakuan bioflok signifikan lebih rendah daripada kontrol positif (p<0,05). Selain itu, perlakuan bioflok dengan volume 15 mL/L menunjukkan hasil terbaik dibandingkan dengan perlakuan lain dalam menurunkan PVC dan populasi *V*. *parahaemolyticus* sebagaimana dibuktikan oleh respons imun, tingkat kelangsungan hidup, dan kinerja pertumbuhan udang vaname.

Kata kunci: bioflok, *Penaeus vanname*, respons imun, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*

# **INTRODUCTION**

*Penaeus vannamei*, commonly known as Pacific white shrimp, represents a key commodity in aquaculture, with global production reaching 5.81 million tons in 2020 (FAO, 2022). Production is projected to increase in 2022, with Indonesia emerging as a major producer (FAO, 2023). Data from the Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries (KKP, 2020) indicates that Indonesia's shrimp production was valued at 860,000 tons, contributing approximately 2 billion USD to global exports in 2019 (FAO, 2022). This represents the highest value in Indonesia's aquaculture sector, followed by species such as Nile tilapia and catfish. The expansion of *P. vannamei* farming remains promising due to the extensive target markets including Japan, the United States, the United Kingdom, and other shrimp-importing countries (FAO, 2020).

Despite the generally increasing production trend in recent years, white shrimp farming is still constrained by disease outbreaks, particularly bacterial diseases caused by the genus *Vibrio*, commonly known as vibriosis. *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* is a significant pathogen affecting white shrimp, causing various abnormalities such as gill necrosis, lethargy, loss of appetite, and in acute cases, up to 100% mortality (Abdel-Latif *et al*., 2022). Recent years have seen particular global attention on *V. parahaemolyticus* strains with PirA and PirB toxins, responsible for acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease (AHPND). The first AHPND outbreak occurred in China (2009), with rapid spread to countries including Vietnam (2010), Malaysia (2011), Thailand (2012), Mexico (2013), the Philippines (2015), and the United States (2016) (Kumar *et al*., 2021). In Indonesia, *V. parahaemolyticus* with AHPND (VpAHPND) has been identified in export samples and various regions including Serang, Banten, and Bangkalan, Madura (Han *et al*., 2020; Saputra *et al*., 2023; Suryana *et al*., 2023).

The pathogenicity of *V. parahaemolyticus* is regulated by quorum sensing (QS) mechanisms (Gode-Potratz & McCarter, 2011), which enable bacteria to communicate via signaling molecules (autoinducers) and synchronize gene expression, including those for virulence factors (Lu *et al*., 2019; Lin *et al*., 2022). Through QS, *V. parahaemolyticus* can produce cytotoxic compounds and molecules facilitating infection, influenced by population density in aquatic

environments. One approach to mitigate *V*. *parahaemolyticus* infections is by enhancing shrimp immunity and controlling bacterial populations to inhibit QS (Gustilatov *et al*., 2023). This can be achieved through the application of biofloc technology in shrimp culture.

Biofloc technology utilizes and converts nitrogen from feed waste and metabolic byproducts into heterotrophic microorganism biomass by adding organic carbon sources. This process forms flocs of bacterial biomass and other microorganisms, known as biofloc (Khanjani *et al*., 2022). Besides improving environmental quality and growth parameters (Kumar *et al*., 2018), biofloc enhances the immune response of white shrimp (Ekasari *et al*., 2014; Panigrahi *et al*., 2018) and serves as a biocontrol for pathogenic bacteria by reducing QS activity and virulence factors (Panigrahi *et al*., 2018; Aguilera-Rivera *et al*., 2019; Widanarni *et al*., 2024). Aguilera-Rivera *et al*. (2014) reported that biofloc application can prevent outbreaks of opportunistic *Vibrio* bacteria in aquatic environments. Implementation of biofloc in shrimp farming has shown positive results, including higher survival rates when challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus* causing AHPND, compared to controls (Hostins *et al*., 2019; Kumar *et al*., 2020).

The findings of these studies suggest that biofloc technology can serve as an effective biocontrol agent and enhance shrimp immunity, positioning it as a viable alternative for managing *V. parahaemolyticus* infections in white shrimp culture. The volume of biofloc, influenced by different C ratios, exhibits varying characteristics and functions (Panigrahi *et al*., 2018), yet detailed information on the impact of different biofloc volumes on bacterial inhibition and pathogenicity remains scarce. Understanding the optimal protective effects of biofloc at specific volumes can help maintain microbial populations during shrimp farming. This study aims to evaluate the inhibition of *V. parahaemolyticus* infections in *P. vannamei* reared in biofloc systems with varying volumes.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## **Time and place of study**

This study was conducted from June to August 2022 at the Aquatic Organism Health Laboratory, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, IPB University.

# **Experimental design**

This research used a completely randomized design (CRD) consisting of five treatments and three replications (Table 1).

# **Study procedure**

# **Preparation of containers and rearing media**

The containers used for the adaptation process and initial maintenance of white shrimp seedlings are 200×100×40 cm3 fiber tanks. Subsequently, the containers used for maintenance during the treatment period are  $60 \times 30 \times 30$  cm<sup>3</sup> glass aquariums, with a total of 15 units. The aquariums are thoroughly cleaned and filled with freshwater, then disinfected using chlorine at a dose of 30 µl/L for 24 hours. The aquariums are then rinsed with freshwater and dried. After that, the aquariums are filled with seawater with a salinity of 25 g/L up to a water volume of 33.3 L, and aeration is installed.

#### **Biofloc preparation**

The preparation of biofloc suspension for white shrimp maintenance comes from biofloc culture conducted in white shrimp cultivation tanks using molasses as the organic carbon source. During maintenance, molasses is directly added to the shrimp maintenance aquariums once a day, two hours after the morning feeding, with an estimated C/N ratio of 10 (Ekasari *et al*., 2010). The amount of carbon added to support the floc formation process by heterotrophic bacteria in each treatment follows the carbon requirement calculation scheme by De Schryver *et al*. (2008).

# **Preparation of test bacteria**

*V. parahaemolyticus* used as the challenge test bacteria in this study were made resistant to the antibiotic rifampicin at 50 μg/mL (1 g rifampicin, 95 mL absolute ethanol, and 5 mL distilled water) as a marker on agar media.

Table 1. Experimental design for white shrimp maintenance in a biofloc system with different volumes for controlling *V. parahaemolyticus* infection.

<b>Treatment</b>	Annotation
K- (Negative control)	White shrimp reared without biofloc treatment and without challenge with V. parahaemolyticus
$K+$ (Positive control)	White shrimp reared without biofloc treatment and challenged with V. parahaemolyticus
B <sub>5</sub>	White shrimp reared in the biofloc system with volume of 5 mL/L dan challenged with <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i>
<b>B10</b>	White shrimp reared in the biofloc system with volume of 10 mL/L dan challenged with <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i>
<b>B</b> 15	White shrimp reared in the biofloc system with volume of 15 mL/L dan challenged with <i>V. parahaemolyticus</i>

Amount of feed / day (FR) %  $\times$  Biomassa (g) (1)

Equation  $1 \times$  protein content in feed (2)

Equation  $2 \times 16\%$  (nitrogen (N) from protein in feed) (3)

Equation  $3 \times 85\%$  (estimation of feed become waste) (4)

Equation  $4 \times C/N$  ratio (5)

Equation 5:40% (C content in molasse) (Saha et al. 2022)



The bacteria were then cultured on Sea Water Complete (SWC) or Thiosulfate-Citrate-Bile-Salt Sucrose (TCBS) agar. Colonies that had grown on the agar media after 24 hours were then taken using an inoculation loop and inoculated into 15 mL of liquid SWC media. The culture was then incubated using a shaker at 160 rpm for 18 hours at 28°C until a bacterial density of 108 CFU/mL was obtained. The bacterial density was then diluted to a concentration of 103 CFU/mL in the shrimp maintenance media.

# **White shrimp maintenance**

White shrimp were stocked into the aquariums at a density of 3 individuals per liter (100 individuals per aquarium) and maintained for 21 days. During the maintenance period, the shrimp were fed commercial feed with a protein content of 39-40%, four times a day (07:00, 12:00, 17:00, and 22:00 WIB) at a feeding rate of 10%. The challenge test using *V. parahaemolyticus* was conducted using an immersion method at the start of the treatment. The challenge test used a *V. parahaemolyticus* concentration of 103 CFU/ mL, obtained from the preliminary LC<sub>50</sub> (lethal concentration 50%) test results (Torpee *et al*., 2021), as shown in Table 1.

Weekly counts of the bacterial populations of *Vibrio* sp. and *V. parahaemolyticus* RfR in the rearing water and shrimp gut were performed. Water quality parameters, including dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, and salinity, were measured daily, while total ammonia nitrogen (TAN), nitrite, and nitrate were measured weekly at the Aquaculture Environment Laboratory, Department of Aquaculture, IPB University.

#### **Floc volume observation**

Floc volume was measured after settling in a cone, and floc particle density was observed using the method of Sumitro *et al*. (2022). Approximately 1000 mL water sample was settled for 60 minutes in a 1000 mL capacity cone tube. If there was a significant increase in floc volume in the treatment using biofloc, dilution was performed by replacing 50% of the maintenance water to keep the floc volume stable.

#### **Observation parameter**

# **Total Vibrio count and total** *V. parahaemolyticus* **in water and shrimp bodies**

On the  $7<sup>th</sup>$ ,  $14<sup>th</sup>$ , and  $21<sup>st</sup>$  days of maintenance, total *Vibrio* count and *V. parahaemolyticus* RfR were measured in the maintenance media and the bodies of white shrimp following the method of Madigan *et al*. (2003). Total bacteria were counted using the total plate count method on TCBS media, while total *V. parahaemolyticus* was counted on TCBS media supplemented with 50 µg/mL rifampicin. The maintenance water and homogenized shrimp bodies were serially diluted and 50 µL was spread on each type of media. The media with bacterial cultures were then incubated for 24 hours at 28°C-29°C. The bacteria that grew were then counted to determine the total bacterial count.

# **Immune response**

The measurement of shrimp immune response refers to Hamsah *et al*. (2019) and Widanarni *et al*. (2020). Total hemocyte count (THC) was conducted by placing shrimp samples in a mortar containing an anticoagulant (3.8% Na-citrate) with a ratio of 1:3 (shrimp weight: anticoagulant). The shrimp were then ground, and the body fluid was extracted with a micropipette, dropped onto a hemocytometer, and observed under a microscope at  $100 \times$  magnification. Phenoloxidase (PO) activity was measured by the formation of dopachrome produced by L-DOPA, with optical density (OD) measured using a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 492 nm (Sutthangkul *et al*., 2017). Respiratory burst (RB) activity was measured according to the method of Hampton *et al*. (2020), with RB expressed as NBT reduction per 10 μl hemolymph. Immune response sampling was conducted on days 7, 14, and 21.

#### **Growth performance**

The shrimp's weight was measured at the beginning and end of the rearing period. Sampling was conducted before feeding when the shrimp's intestines were empty. Specific growth rate (SGR) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were calculated using the equation provided by Liu *et al*. (2019):

$$
SGR (\% / day) = \frac{\ln W_t - \ln W_0}{t} \times 100
$$

Note:

- $W_t$  = Average shrimp weight at the end of the rearing period (g)
- $W_0$  = Average shrimp weight at the beginning of the rearing period (g)
- $B_a$  = Final shrimp biomass (g)
- $B_0$  = Initial shrimp biomass (g)



- $t =$  Duration of rearing (days)
- $FC = Total feed consumption (g)$

# **Statistical analysis**

The obtained data were tabulated using Microsoft Excel 2013. Analysis of growth performance, immune response, total bacteria, and total *V. parahaemolyticus* was performed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with SPSS version 20. If significant differences were found, further tests were conducted using Tukey's test with a 95% confidence interval.

# **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

# **Result**

*Floc volume range*

The floc volume in each treatment was measured daily and maintained for 21 days during the maintenance period. Throughout the maintenance period, the floc volume remained within the range corresponding to each treatment. In treatment B5, the floc volume ranged from 4.6 to 4.9 mL/L; treatment B10 had a floc volume ranging from 9.4 to 9.8 mL/L; and treatment B15 had a floc volume range of 14.3 to 14.5 mL/L. The weekly range of floc volume values is presented in Table 2.

# *Total Vibrio count and total V. parahaemolyticus RfR in water and shrimp body*

Total Vibrio count and *V. parahaemolyticus* were observed through the maintenance media and the shrimp bodies. The observation of total presumptive *Vibrio* count (TPC) of Vibrio sp. in the maintenance media showed that the total *Vibrio* count for treatments B5, B10, and B15 was higher on day 7 compared to treatments KN and KP. However, on days 14 and 21, the total Vibrio count tended to decrease (Table 3). In treatment B5, the count was higher on day 7 at 5.62 log CFU/mL, but decreased on days 14 and 21 to 3.92 log CFU/mL and 3.55 log CFU/mL, respectively.

Similarly, treatments B10 and B15 had the highest total *Vibrio* count on day 7 and the lowest on day 21. The total Vibrio count in the shrimp bodies showed similar values to those in the maintenance media. Treatments B5, B10, and B15 had the highest count on day 7 at  $5.98 \pm 0.57$ log CFU/mL and the lowest count on day 21 at  $3.75 \pm 0.42$  log CFU/mL. The complete data for total Vibrio count in the water and white shrimp bodies are presented in Table 3.

The observation of total *V. parahaemolyticus* Rf<sup>R</sup> bacteria in the maintenance water showed a range of 3.18–4.80 log CFU/mL on day 7, while no *V. parahaemolyticus* growth was detected on days 14 and 21. However, in the positive control





Note: B5 (floc volume of 5 mL/L); B10 (floc volume of 10 mL/L); B15 (floc volume of 15 mL/L).

Table 3. Total Vibrio count in water and body of white shrimp maintained in biofloc system with different volumes and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus*.

TPVC (Total presumptive vibrio count)						
Treatment	Water (Log CFU/mL)			Shrimp $(Log CFU/g)$		
	Day 7	Day $14$	Day $21$	Day 7	Day $14$	Day $21$
KN	$4.31 \pm 0.19^{\circ}$	$5.84 \pm 0.12$	$5.66 \pm 0.03$ °	$4.66 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	$5.62 \pm 0.17$ <sup>bc</sup>	$5.68 \pm 0.08$
KP	$5.47 \pm 0.16^b$	$6.7 \pm 0.03$ <sup>c</sup>	$6.69 \pm 0.01$ <sup>d</sup>	$5.69 \pm 0.23$ <sup>ab</sup>	$6.35 \pm 0.37$	$6.21 \pm 0.34$
B <sub>5</sub>	$5.62 \pm 0.04$ <sup>b</sup>	$3.92 \pm 0.10^6$	$3.55 \pm 0.24$ <sup>ab</sup>	$5.88 \pm 0.55^{\circ}$	$4.45 + 0.14^b$	$4.58 \pm 0.18$ <sup>b</sup>
<b>B10</b>	$5.47 \pm 0.07$ <sup>b</sup>	$3.62 \pm 0.15^{\circ}$	$3.67 \pm 0.09^{\circ}$	$5.96 \pm 0.71$ <sup>b</sup>	$4.19 \pm 0.87$ <sup>a</sup>	$4.41 \pm 0.07$ <sup>b</sup>
<b>B</b> 15	$5.61 \pm 0.18^{\circ}$	$3.47 \pm 0.13$ <sup>a</sup>	$3.31 \pm 0.07$ <sup>a</sup>	$5.98 \pm 0.57$ <sup>b</sup>	$4.66 \pm 0.45$ <sup>bc</sup>	$3.75 \pm 0.42^{\circ}$

Note: Different superscript letters in the same column indicate significantly different results (Tukey p<0.05).

(KP) treatment, bacteria were found on days 14 and 21 with total counts of  $3.27 \pm 0.20$  log CFU/ mL and 1.83 ± 0.42 log CFU/mL, respectively. The observation of total *V. parahaemolyticus* Rf<sup>R</sup> bacteria in white shrimp bodies showed higher counts in the KP treatment, ranging from 2.77 to 3.78 log CFU/mL. In the biofloc treatments (B5, B10, and B15), the highest total *V. parahaemolyticus* counts were observed on day 7, with a tendency to decrease on days 14 and 21, with the lowest count in treatment B10 at  $1.72 \pm$ 0.28 log CFU/mL (Table 4).

# *White shrimp immune response*

The results of the immune response observations in white shrimp, including total hemocyte count (THC), phagocytic activity (PA), phenoloxidase (PO) activity, and respiratory burst (RB) activity, are presented in Table 5. On day 7, THC values showed a significant difference (P<0.05) in treatments B5, B10, and B15 compared to KN and KP treatments. The highest THC value was found in treatment B15, with a value of  $7.70 \pm 0.35$  10<sup>6</sup> cells/mL, while the lowest value was in KP treatment, with a value

Table 4. Total *V. parahaemolyticus* Rf<sup>R</sup> in water and body of white shrimp maintained in biofloc system with different volumes and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus*.

TVC (Total Bakteri V. parahaemolyticus)						
<b>Treatment</b>	Water (Log CFU/mL)			Shrimp $(Log CFU/g)$		
	Day 7	Day 14	Day $21$	Day 7	Day 14	Day $21$
<b>KN</b>	$(1 + 0)^a$	$(1 + 0)^a$	$(1) + (1)$ <sup>a</sup>	$(1) + (1)$ <sup>a</sup>	$0 \pm 0^{\circ}$	$0 \pm 0^{\circ}$
<b>KP</b>	$4.80 \pm 0.28$ <sup>d</sup>	$3.27 \pm 0.20^{\circ}$	$1.83 \pm 0.09$ <sup>b</sup>	$3.78 \pm 0.14$	$3.75 \pm 0.32$ <sup>d</sup>	$2.77 \pm 0.55$ °
<b>B5</b>	$3.64 \pm 0.23$ °	$0 \pm 0^{\circ}$	$0 \pm 0^{\circ}$	$3.02 \pm 0.35$ <sup>bc</sup>	$2.72 \pm 0.14$ °	$1.94 \pm 0.12^b$
<b>B</b> 10	$3.36 \pm 0.08$	$0 \pm 0^{\circ}$	$0 \pm 0^{\circ}$	$2.88 \pm 0.51$ <sup>bc</sup>	$1.69 \pm 0.32^b$	$1.72 \pm 0.28$ <sup>b</sup>
<b>B15</b>	$3.18 \pm 0.12^b$	$0 \pm 0^{\circ}$	$0 \pm 0^{\circ}$	$2.58 \pm 0.76^{\circ}$	$1.89 \pm 0.47$ <sup>b</sup>	$1.85 \pm 0.17$ <sup>b</sup>

Note: Different superscript letters in the same column indicate significantly different results (Tukey p<0.05).

Table 5. Immune response of white shrimp reared in biofloc systems with different volumes and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus*

Day	<b>Parameter</b>	KN	KP	<b>B5</b>	<b>B10</b>	<b>B15</b>
	THC (10 <sup>6</sup> Cell/mL)	$4.33 \pm 0.15^{\circ}$				
	PA $(\%)$	$34.33 \pm 1.15^{\circ}$				
$\boldsymbol{0}$	PO $(100 \mu L)$	$0.24 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$				
	$RB(10 \mu L)$	$0.15 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$				
	THC (10 <sup>6</sup> Cell/mL)	$5.23 \pm 0.35^{\circ}$	$3.27 \pm 0.31$ <sup>a</sup>	$7.10 \pm 0.20^{\circ}$	$7.33 \pm 0.21$ <sup>c</sup>	$7.37 \pm 0.35$ <sup>c</sup>
	PA $(\%)$	$49.33 \pm 3.21$ <sup>b</sup>	$37.00 \pm 1.00^{\circ}$	$49.33 \pm 0.58$ <sup>b</sup>	$46.67 \pm 2.52$ <sup>b</sup>	$49.67 \pm 1.53$ <sup>b</sup>
7	PO $(100 \mu L)$	$0.26 \pm 0.03^b$	$0.18 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	$0.27 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	$0.28 \pm 0.02^b$	$0.27 \pm 0.01$ <sup>b</sup>
	$RB(10 \mu L)$	$0.23 \pm 0.01$ <sup>ab</sup>	$0.2 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	$0.24 \pm 0.02$ <sup>ab</sup>	$0.26 \pm 0.04$	$0.34 \pm 0.01$ <sup>c</sup>
	THC $(10^6 \text{Cell/mL})$	$5.80 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$	$3.50 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$	$6.47 \pm 0.25$ <sup>bc</sup>	$7.33 \pm 0.45$ <sup>d</sup>	$7.10 \pm 0.26$ <sup>cd</sup>
	PA $(\%)$	$52.33 \pm 3.21$ <sup>b</sup>	$39.00 \pm 1.00^{\circ}$	$51.33 \pm 3.21$ <sup>b</sup>	$48.67 \pm 3.06^{\circ}$	$51.33 \pm 2.08$ <sup>b</sup>
14	PO $(100 \mu L)$	$0.25 \pm 0.03$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.12 \pm 0.02^{\text{a}}$	$0.26 \pm 0.04$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.26 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	$0.26 \pm 0.02$ <sup>b</sup>
	$RB(10 \mu L)$	$0.27 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	$0.21 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	$0.35 \pm 0.03$ <sup>c</sup>	$0.36 \pm 0.02$ <sup>c</sup>	$0.38 \pm 0.03$ <sup>c</sup>
	THC (10 <sup>6</sup> Cell/mL)	$6.03 \pm 0.15$ <sup>b</sup>	$3.70 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$	$7.00 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$	$7.60 \pm 0.50^{\circ}$	$7.7 \pm 0.26^{\circ}$
21	PA $(\%)$	$57.00 \pm 2.65^{\circ}$	$31.67 \pm 2.08$ <sup>a</sup>	$47.33 \pm 6.66^{\circ}$	$50.33 \pm 2.52$ <sup>b</sup>	$54.67 \pm 2.08$ <sup>b</sup>
	PO $(100 \mu L)$	$0.27 \pm 0.02^b$	$0.17 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	$0.28 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	$0.29 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	$0.3 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$
	$RB(10 \mu L)$	$0.31 \pm 0.02^{\text{a}}$	$0.26 \pm 0.02^{\text{a}}$	$0.41 \pm 0.03^b$	$0.47 \pm 0.04$ <sup>bc</sup>	$0.5 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$

Note: Different superscript letters in the same column indicate significantly different results (Tukey p<0.05).

of  $3.27 \pm 0.31$  10<sup>6</sup> cells/mL. Phagocytic activity in the treatments with increased biofloc volume (B5, B10, and B15) on days 7, 14, and 21 of rearing showed significant differences (P<0.05) compared to KP treatment but did not differ significantly from KN treatment.

The highest phagocytic activity (PA) was observed on day 21 in the biofloc treatment (B15), with a value of  $54.67 \pm 2.08\%$ , while the lowest value on day 21 was in the positive control treatment (KP), with a value of  $31.67 \pm 2.08\%$ . Observations of phenoloxidase (PO) activity in all treatments on day 0 showed a value of  $0.24 \pm$ 0.01 (100 µL). PO values with increased biofloc volume (B5, B10, and B15) showed significantly different results from KP treatment but did not differ significantly from KN treatment. The highest PO activity during 21 days of rearing was observed on day 21 in the B15 treatment, with a value of  $0.30 \pm 0.01$  (100  $\mu$ L), and the lowest PO activity was observed on day 14 in the KP treatment, with a PO value of  $0.12 \pm 0.02$  (100)  $\mu$ L).

The observation of respiratory burst (RB) on day 0 in all treatments showed a value of  $0.15 \pm 0.01$  (10 µL). On days 7, 14, and 21, the increased biofloc volume (B5, B10, and B15) significantly increased RB values. A significant effect was observed on day 21, where the increased biofloc volume (B15) challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus* 103 CFU/mL showed higher RB activity, with a value of  $0.5 \pm 0.00$  (10  $\mu$ L), and

was significantly different (P<0.05) from both the positive control (KP) and negative control (KN) treatments. However, there were no significant differences between treatments B5 and B10.

### *White shrimp growth performance*

The growth performance of white shrimp reared in a biofloc system with different volumes is presented in Table 6. Based on Table 6, it is evident that the B10 treatment provided better feed conversion ratio (FCR) values, which were significantly different from the positive control (KP), B5, and B15 treatments according to statistical analysis. Additionally, the B10 treatment yielded higher values in final weight and specific growth rate parameters, although these values were not significantly different from the B5 and B15 treatments. The highest weight obtained during the rearing period was  $0.99 \pm$ 0.08 g, the highest specific growth rate was 6.42  $\pm$  0.28%, and the lowest feed conversion ratio was  $1.45 \pm 0.08$ .

The total feed consumption during the 21 day rearing period ranged from 83.35 to 102.65 g. The highest survival rate during the rearing period was in the range of 84.23-89.96%, which was significantly different from the positive control (KP) but not significantly different from the negative control (KN), B5, B10, and B15 treatments. The results of water quality measurements during the rearing of white shrimp are presented in Table 7. Overall, the values of

Table 6. Growth performance of white shrimp reared in biofloc systems with different volumes and challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus.*

<b>Parameter</b>	KN	KP	B5	<b>B10</b>	<b>B</b> 15
$W_0(g)$	$0.30 \pm 0.03$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.30 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	$0.28 \pm 0.01$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.28 \pm 0.01$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.28 \pm 0.01$ <sup>a</sup>
$W_{t}(g)$	$0.81 \pm 0.01$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.80 \pm 0.01$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.94 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	$0.99 \pm 0.08^{\circ}$	$0.96 \pm 0.07$ <sup>b</sup>
FC(g)	$95.67 + 3.74$ <sup>ab</sup>	$83.35 \pm 5.96^{\circ}$	$98.18 \pm 6.21$ <sup>ab</sup>	$102.65 + 4.93b$	$101.69 + 7.86^{\circ}$
SGR $(\%)$	$4.64 + 0.42^{\circ}$	$4.80 \pm 0.08$ <sup>a</sup>	$6.04 \pm 0.37$ <sup>b</sup>	$6.42 + 0.28$	$6.07 \pm 0.34^{\circ}$
<b>FCR</b>	$1.93 \pm 0.23$ <sup>bc</sup>	$2.18 + 0.23$	$1.56 + 0.12$ <sup>ab</sup>	$1.45 + 0.04$ <sup>a</sup>	$1.53 + 0.10^{ab}$
Survival $(\%)$	$89.96 \pm 3.31$ <sup>b</sup>	$61.32 \pm 13.59^{\circ}$	$84.23 \pm 3.66^{\circ}$	$84.23 \pm 3.31$ <sup>b</sup>	$89.90 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$

Note: Different superscript letters in the same row indicate significantly different results (Tukey p<0.05).

Table 7. Water quality of the maintenance media for white shrimp maintained in the biofloc system with different volumes and tested against *V. parahaemolyticus.*

<b>Treatment</b>	$TAN$ (mg/)	$NO2$ (mg/L)	NO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)
KN	0.131-0.384	0.457-0.696	0.385-0.733
KP	$0.173 - 0.390$	0.480-0.739	$0.226 - 0.653$
<b>B5</b>	$0.132 - 0.468$	$0.011 - 0.572$	0.966-1.980
<b>B</b> 10	$0.078 - 0.326$	0.013-0.786	1.124-2.052
<b>B</b> 15	$0.073 - 0.279$	0.013-0.683	1.273-2.284

TAN (total ammonia nitrogen) and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  (Nitrite) in the biofloc treatments were lower compared to the control treatments, while the  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  (Nitrate) values in the biofloc treatments were higher than those in the control treatments. The lowest DO (Dissolved Oxygen) value was 5.0 mg/L, which is within the normal range, while the highest value was  $6.10 \text{ mg/L}$ . The pH values  $(7.67-8.00)$ , temperature  $(26.2-29.3\textdegree C)$ , and salinity  $(31-34$ g/L) were all within the normal range for white shrimp rearing. In general, the water quality conditions during the white shrimp rearing were optimal and in accordance with SNI 8008:2014 BSN (2014).

# **Discussion**

Vibriosis disease, caused by bacteria from the *Vibrio* genus, particularly *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, is one of the most serious and prevalent diseases affecting shrimp aquaculture (Valente & Wan, 2021). *V. parahaemolyticus* is an opportunistic pathogen whose high population in aquatic environments plays a role in regulating virulence factors and contributing to bacterial defense mechanisms as well as host infection activities, such as enhanced attachment and penetration, interbacterial interactions, and environmental stress responses (Wang *et al*., 2013). Typically, bacterial infections are managed using antibiotics, but their usage has been restricted. An environmentally friendly alternative to control *V. parahaemolyticus* infections involves inhibiting bacterial growth to reduce virulence and enhance shrimp immune responses, thereby increasing resistance to bacterial infections (Zhao *et al*., 2014), such as through the application of biofloc technology (Gustilatov *et al*., 2022).

This study demonstrates that the presence of biofloc at all tested volumes (5 mL/L, 10 mL/L, and 15 mL/L) reduces the density of *Vibrio* spp. and *V. parahaemolyticus*, both in the culture medium and on the shrimp bodies. According to Gustilatov *et al*. (2023), biofloc acts as a biocontrol agent and can inhibit the growth and pathogenicity of *Vibrio* bacteria due to extracellular components that disrupt bacterial QS activity. Biofloc has also been shown to produce compounds such as bromophenol, carotenoids, poly-beta-hydroxybutyrate, and various hydrolytic enzymes (Fatimah *et al*., 2019). Gustilatov *et al*. (2022) reported that biofloc can reduce *V. parahaemolyticus* density in vitro and inhibit biofilm formation.

Biofilm formation, mediated by QS (Liu *et al*., 2018), allows bacteria to efficiently utilize nutrients, increase resistance to antimicrobial agents, stress, and enhance bacterial virulence (Packiavathy *et al*., 2013). Additionally, biofloc can play an antagonistic role by competing for nutrients, energy, and sites, thereby suppressing the growth of pathogenic bacteria (Ferreira *et al*., 2020). The immune parameter profiles measured in this study align with previous findings indicating the positive effects of biofloc on aquaculture organism immunity, as reported by Tepaamorndech *et al*. (2020), Panigrahi *et al*. (2018), and Ekasari *et al*. (2014). Biofloc, consisting of bacteria with lipopolysaccharides or peptidoglycans, fungi with β-glucans, and other microbes, can stimulate hemocytes and other immune parameters through pathogen-associated molecular patterns (Kim *et al*., 2014).

In this study, the total hemocyte count (THC) and phagocytic activity (PA) of shrimp reared in biofloc systems showed significant differences compared to controls, confirming the immunostimulatory effects of biofloc and corroborating previous research by Ferreira *et al*. (2015). The best results were observed in the 15 mL/L biofloc volume treatment compared to other volumes. Hemocytes are involved in several pathogen resistance activities, including phagocytosis, encapsulation, foreign particle aggregation, and prophenoloxidase (proPO) system functions (Sahoo *et al*., 2008). The humoral immune response in shrimp, indicated by phenoloxidase (PO) activity a precursor to melanin formation that inactivates and prevents pathogen spread was enhanced in biofloc treatments (Amparyup *et al*., 2013).

A similar trend was observed in pathogen destruction activity through reactive oxygen intermediates (ROI) represented by reactive blue (RB) parameters (Duan *et al*., 2015). Consistent with the increase in hemocytes, the activities of phenoloxidase (PO) and reactive blue (RB) in the 15 mL/L biofloc treatment were also significantly higher compared to the 5 mL/L and 10 mL/L treatments. This indicates that a 15 mL/L biofloc treatment can provide better protection against *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* infections. Based on the obtained results and corroborated by several previous studies, biofloc is capable of protecting shrimp from pathogen infections, partly through the enhancement of immune responses. The reduction in bacterial virulence impacts its ability to infect shrimp.

In addition to weakening the bacteria's ability to infect shrimp, biofloc also improves the shrimp's immune response to *V. parahaemolyticus* infections, enabling the immune system to better control the bacterial infection due to the influence of biofloc (Ekasari *et al*., 2014). The positive role of biofloc is also evident in shrimp growth performance. Higher growth rates in biofloc treatments are attributed to the comprehensive nutrient composition of biofloc, including proteins, carbon, ash, fatty acids, minerals, and other nutrients, which can serve as a natural feed consistently available in the culture medium (Toledo *et al*., 2016). Additionally, biofloc can enhance digestive enzyme activity, leading to more efficient feed utilization for growth (Wang *et al*., 2015). This effect is indicated by higher length-to-weight ratios (SGR) and lower feed conversion ratios (FCR) in biofloc treatments compared to controls in this study.

Furthermore, the survival rate of shrimp challenged with *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* was higher in biofloc treatments compared to positive controls. This improvement is due to reduced *V. parahaemolyticus* virulence and enhanced immune responses in shrimp. The survival rate in the 15 mL/L biofloc treatment was 89.90  $\pm$ 0.00%, which was not significantly different from the 10 mL/L (84.23  $\pm$  3.31%) and 5 mL/L  $(84.23 \pm 3.66\%)$  treatments, but significantly higher than the positive control  $(61.32 \pm 13.59\%)$ . These findings are consistent with reports by Sajali *et al*. (2019) and Hostins *et al*. (2019), which observed that biofloc treatment enhances the survival of white shrimp challenged with *V. parahaemolyticus*. Biofloc also positively impacts water quality by reducing ammonia and nitrite concentrations, which can be toxic to shrimp, through nitrogen assimilation by heterotrophic bacteria (Robles-Porchas *et al*., 2020).

# **CONCLUSION**

Biofloc can inhibit *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, enhance immune responses, improve growth performance, and increase the resistance of *Penaeus vannamei*, with the best results observed at a volume application of 15 mL/L.

#### **REFERENCES**

Abdel-Latif HM, Yilmaz E, Dawood MA, Ringo E, Ahmadifar E, Yilmaz S. 2022. Shrimp

vibriosis and possible control measures using probiotics, postbiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics: A review. Aquaculture 551: 737951.

- Aguilera-Rivera D, Prieto-Davó A, Escalante K, Chávez C, Cuzon C, Gaxiola G. 2014. Probiotic effect of FLOC on Vibrios in the pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture 424–425: 215–219.
- Aguilera-Rivera D, Prieto-Davo A, Rodriguez-Fuentes G, Escalante-Herrera KS, Gaxiola G. 2019. A vibriosis outbreak in the Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* reared in biofloc and clear seawater. Journal Invertebrate Pathology 167: 1–7.
- Amparyup P, Charoensapsri W, Tassanakajon A. 2013. Prophenoloxidase system and its role in shrimp immune responses against major pathogens. Fish Sellfish Immunology 34: 990–1001.
- [BSN] Badan Standardisasi Nasional. 2014. Standar, Produksi Udang Vaname (Litopenaeus vannamei Boone, 1931) Intensif di Tambak Lining. Jakarta: Badan Standardisasi Nasional.
- De Schryver P, Crab R, Defoirdt T, Boon N, Verstraete W. 2008. The basics of bio-flocs technology: The added value for aquaculture. Aquaculture 277: 125–137.
- Duan Y, Zhang J, Dong H, Wang Y, Liu Q, Li H. 2015. Oxidative stress response of the black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* to *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* challenge. Fish Sellfish Immunology 46: 354–365.
- Ekasari J, Crab R, Verstraete W. 2010. Primary nutritional content of bio-flocs cultured with different organic carbon sources and salinity. HAYATI Journal Biosciences 17: 125–130.
- Ekasari J, Azhar MH, Surawidjaja EH, Nuryati S, Schryver, Bossier. 2014. Immune response and disease resistance of shrimp fed biofloc grown on different carbon source. Fish Shellfish Immunology 41: 332–339.
- [FAO] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation. 2020. Fisheries and Aquaculture Information and Statistics Branch http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics. [23] October 2020].
- [FAO] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation. 2022. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2022. Towards Blue Transformation. Rome, FAO.
- [FAO] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation. 2023. GLOBEFISH: Information and analysis on market trade of

fisheries and aquaculture [Internet]. [Diunduh pada 2023 Juni 10]. Tersedia dalam https:// www.fao.org/in-action/globefish/marketreports.

- Fatimah N, Pande GSJ, Natrah FMI, Meritha WW, Widanarni, Sucipto A, Ekasari J. 2019. The role of microbial quorum sensing on the characteristics and functionality of bioflocs in aquaculture systems. Aquaculture 504: 420– 426.
- Ferreira GS, Bolivar NC, Pereira SA, Guertler C, do Nascimento Vieira F, Mouriño JLP, Seiffert WQ. 2015. Microbial biofloc as source of probiotic bacteria for the culture of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture 448: 273–279.
- Ferreira GS, Santos D, Schmachtl F, Machado C, Fernandes V, Bögner M, Vieira FN. 2020. Heterotrophic, chemoautotrophic and mature approaches in biofloc system for Pacific white shrimp. Aquaculture 533: 736099.
- Gode-Potratz CJ, McCarter LL. 2011. Quorum sensing and silencing in *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. Journal Bacteriology 193: 4224–4237.
- Gustilatov M, Widanarni, Ekasari J, Pande GSJ. 2022. Protective effects of the biofloc system in Pacific white shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*) culture against pathogenic *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* infection. Fish & Shellfish Immunology 124: 66–73.
- Gustilatov M, Widanarni, Ekasari J, Pande GSJ. 2023. Biofloc system supplemented by *Pseudoalteromonas piscicida* 1UB protects the pacific white shrimp *Penaeus vannamei*  from *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* infection. Aquaculture and Fisheries 1–8.
- Hampton LMT, Jeffries MKS, Venables BJ. 2020. A practical guide for assessing respiratory burst and phagocytic cell activity in the fathead minnow, an emerging model for immunotoxicity. MethodsX 7: 100992.
- Hamsah, Widanarni, Alimudin, Yuhana M, Junior MZ, Hidayatullah. 2019. Immune response and resistance of Pacific white shrimp larvae administered probiotic, prebiotic, and synbiotic through the bio-encapsulation of *Artemia* sp. Aquaculture International 27: 567–580.
- Han JE, Lee SC, Park SC, Jeon HJ, Kim KY, Lee YS, Park S, Han SH, Kim JH, Choi SK. 2020. Molecular detection of *Enterocytozoon hepatopenaei* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*associated acute hepatopancreatic necrosis

disease in Southeast Asian *Penaeus vannamei*  shrimp imported into Korea. Aquaculture 517: 734–812.

- Hostins B, Wasielesky W, Decamp OC, Bossier P, Schryver PD. 2019. Managing input C/N ratio to reduce the risk of Acute Hepatopancreatic Necrosis Disease (AHPND) outbreaks in biofloc systems – A laboratory study. Aquaculture 508: 60–65.
- Khanjani MH, Mohammadi A, Emerenciano MGC. 2022. Microorganisms in biofloc aquaculture system. Aquaculture Reports 26: 101300.
- [KKP] Kementerian Kelautan dan Perikanan. 2020. Laporan Tahunan Kementerian Kelautan dan Perikanan www.kkp.go.id. [21 April 2022].
- Kim SK, Pang Z, Seo HC, Cho YR, Samocha T, Jang IK. 2014. Effect of bioflocs on growth and immune activity of Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* postlarvae. Aquaculture Research 45: 362–371.
- Kumar VS, Pandey PK, Anand T, Bhuvaneswari GR, Dhinakaran A, Kumar S. 2018. Biofloc improves water, effluent quality and growth parameters of *Penaeus vannamei* in an intensive culture system. Environmental Management 215: 206–215.
- Kumar V, Wille M, Lourenço TM, Bossier P. 2020. Biofloc-based enhanced survival of *Litopenaeus vannamei* upon AHPND-causing *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* challenge is partially mediated by reduced expression of its virulence genes. Frontiers Microbiology 11: 1–12.
- Kumar V, ROy S, Behera BK, Swain HS, Das BK. 2021. Biofloc microbiome with bioremediation and health benefits. Frontiers Microbiology 12: 741164.
- Lin SJ, Huang JY, Le PT, Lee CT, Chang CC, Yang YY, Wang HC. 2022. Expression of the AHPND toxins PirAvp and PirBvp is regulated by components of the *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* quorum sensing (QS) system. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 23: 2889.
- Liu J, Fu K, Wu C, Qin K, Li F, Zhou L. 2018. "In-Group" communication in marine *Vibrio*: A review of N-Acyl homoserine lactonesdriven quorum sensing. Front Cell Infect Microbiology 8: 1–17.
- Liu Y, Xing R, Liu S, Qin Y, Li K, Yu H, Li P. 2019. Effects of chitooligosaccharides supplementation with different dosages, molecular weights and degrees of deacetylation on growth performance, innate immunity and

hepatopancreas morphology in Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). Carbohydrate Polymers 226: 115254.

- Lu R, Tang H, Qiu Y, Yang W, Yang H, Zhou D Huang X, Hu L, Zhang Y. 2019. Quorum sensing regulates the transcription of lateral flagellar genes in *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. Future Microbiology 14: 1043–1053.
- Madigan MT, Martinko JM, Parker J. 2003. Brock Biology of Microorganisms, 10<sup>th</sup> Edn. Upper Saddke River (US): Prentice-Hall Inc.
- Packiavathy IASV, Sasikumar P, Pandian SK, Ravi AV. 2013. Prevention of quorum-sensingmediated biofilm development and virulence factors production in *Vibrio* spp. by curcumin. Applied Microbiology Biotechnology 97: 10177–10187.
- Panigrahi A, Saranya C, Sundaram M, Kannan SRV, Das RR, Kumar RS, Rajesh P, Otta SK. 2018. c and growth as well as immunity of shrimp *(Litopenaeus vannamei*) in biofloc based culture system. Fish Shellfish Immunology 81: 329–337.
- Robles‐Porchas GR., Gollas‐Galván T, Martínez‐ Porchas M, Martínez‐Cordova LR, Miranda Baeza A, Vargas‐Albores F. 2020. The nitrification process for nitrogen removal in biofloc system aquaculture. Review Aquaculture 12: 2228–2249.
- Rodrıguez J, Moullac GL. 2000. State of the art of immunological tools and healthcontrol of penaeid shrimp. *Aquaculture*. 191:109-119.
- Sahoo PK, Das A, Mohanty BK, Pilai BR, Mohanty J. 2008. Dietary β-1,3 glucan improve the immunity and disease resistance of freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* challenged with *Aeromonas hydrophyla*. Aquaculture Research 39: 1574–1578.
- Sajali USBA, Atkinson NL, Desbois AP, Little DC, Murray FJ, Shinn AP. 2019. Prophylactic properties of biofloc-or Nile tilapia-conditioned water against *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* infection of whiteleg shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*). Aquaculture 498: 496–502.
- Saputra A, Maftuch M, Andayani S, Yanuhar U. 2023. Pathogenicity of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* causing acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease (AHPND) in shrimp (*Litopanaeus vannamei*) in Serang, Banten, Indonesia. Biodiversitas Journal 24: 2365–2373.
- Sumitro, Afandi A, Safia WO. 2022. Evaluation of flock volume levels on water quality and production performance of catfish (*Clarias*

*gariepinus*) cultured using a micropore pipe as an aeration diffuser. Journal of Aquaculture and Fish Health 11: 163–169.

- Suryana A, Asih ENN, Insafitri I. 2023. Infection phenomenon of Acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease on Vaname shrimp cultivation in Bangkalan District. Journal of Marine Research 12: 212–220.
- Sutthangkul J, Amparyup P, Eum JH, Strand MR, Tassanakajon A. 2017. Anti-melanization mechanism of the white spot syndrome viral protein, WSSV 453, via interaction with shrimp proPO-activating enzyme, *Pm*proPPAE2. Journal of General Virology 98: 769–778.
- Tepaamorndech S, Nookaew I, Higdon SM, Santiyanont P, Phromson M, Chantarasakha K, Visessanguan W. 2020. Metagenomics in bioflocs and their effects on gut microbiome and immune responses in Pacific white shrimp. Fish Shellfish Immunology 106: 733–741.
- Toledo TM, Silva BC, Vieira FDN, Mouriño JLP, Seiffert WQ. 2016. Effects of different dietary lipid levels and fatty acids profile in the culture of white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone) in biofloc technology: water quality, biofloc composition, growth and health. Aquaculture Research 47: 1841–1851.
- Torpee S, Kantachote D, Rattanachuay P, Chiayvareesajja S, Tantirungkij M. 2021. Dietary supplementation with probiotic Rhodobacter sphaeroides SS1 extract to control acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease (AHPND)-causing *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in cultivated white shrimp. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 186: 107585.
- Valente CDS, Wan AH. 2021. Vibrio and major commercially important vibriosis diseases in decapod crustaceans. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 181: 1–18.
- Wang C, Pan L, Zhang K, Xu W, Zhao D, Mei L. 2015. Effects of different carbon sources addition on nutrition composition and extracellular enzymes activity of bioflocs, and digestive enzymes activity and growth performance of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in zeroexchange culture tanks. Aquaculture Research 47: 1–12.
- Wang L, Zhou D, Mao P, Zhang Y, Hou J, Hu Y, Qiu J. 2013. Cell density-and quorum sensingdependent expression of type VI secretion system 2 in *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. PloS one 8: 1–11.
- Widanarni, Rahmi D, Gustilatov M, Sukenda, Utami DAS. 2020. Immune responses and

resistance of white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* administered *Bacillus* sp. NP5 and honey against white spot syndrome virus infection. Jurnal Akuakultur Indonesia 19: 118–130.

Widanarni W, Gustilatov M, Ekasari J, Julyantoro PGS, Waturangi DE, Sukenda S. 2024. Unveiling the positive impact of biofloc culture on *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* infection of Pacific white shrimp by reducing quorum sensing and virulence gene expression and enhancing immunity. Journal of Fish Diseases, e13932.

Zhao J, Chen M, Quan C, Fan S. 2014. Mechanisms of quorum sensing and strategies for quorum sensing disruption in aquaculture pathogens. Journal Fish Disease 38: 771–786.