

Research

In Silico Toxicity of Bioactive Compounds from Citronella (*Cymbopogon nardus*) and Neem (*Azadirachta indica*)

Henny Endah Anggraeni^{1*}, Rini Madyastuti Purwono², Lina Noviyanti Sutardi², Aulia Andi Mustika³,
Wasmen Manalu⁴, Andriyanto³

¹Doctoral Student, School of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, IPB University, Jl. Agatis, IPB Dramaga Campus, Bogor 16680, Indonesia

²Veterinary Pharmacy Subdivision, School of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, IPB University, Jl. Agatis, IPB Dramaga Campus, Bogor 16680, Indonesia

³Pharmacology and Toxicology Division, School of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, IPB University, Jl. Agatis, IPB Dramaga Campus, Bogor 16680, Indonesia

⁴Physiology Division, School of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, IPB University, Jl. Agatis, IPB Dramaga Campus, Bogor 16680, Indonesia

* Corresponding author: hennyendahanggraeni@apps.ipb.ac.id

Received: 25 May 2025, Accepted: 30 October 2025

ABSTRACT

Natural active-ingredient-based shampoos offer an effective and eco-friendly solution for maintaining the skin and hair health of companion animals. This study aimed to predict the toxicity of phytochemical compounds from citronella oil (*Cymbopogon nardus*) and neem oil (*Azadirachta indica*) using an in silico approach with the ProTox-II platform. The analyzed compounds included limonene, farnesene, eucalyptol, menthol, oleic acid, p-cymene, indole, (9Z)-9-octadecenamide, amylibenzene, p-cresol, camphor, and dieugenol, selected based on LC-MS/MS analysis. The evaluated toxicological parameters comprised oral acute toxicity (LD_{50}), hepatotoxicity, immunotoxicity, genotoxicity (cytotoxicity, mutagenicity, carcinogenicity), as well as nuclear receptor signaling and stress response pathways. The results revealed that p-cymene exhibited the highest toxicity ($LD_{50} = 3$ mg/kg, class I), followed by oleic acid ($LD_{50} = 48$ mg/kg, class II), whereas amylibenzene was classified as non-toxic ($LD_{50} = 6430$ mg/kg, class VI). All compounds were predicted to be non-hepatotoxic and non-immunotoxic; however, p-cymene, indole, and amylibenzene showed potential carcinogenicity. Limonene was predicted to be active against AhR, ER, MMP, and ATAD5 receptors, while dieugenol was active against nrf2/ARE, HSE, and MMP. These predictions suggest that most compounds are safe for shampoo applications, except for p-cymene, which requires further evaluation. This study provides preliminary insights for the development of safe and effective natural-based shampoos.

Keywords: *Azadirachta indica*, *Cymbopogon nardus*, in silico, phytochemical compounds, toxicity

ABSTRAK

Sampo berbahan aktif alami menjadi solusi efektif dan ramah lingkungan untuk perawatan kesehatan kulit dan rambut hewan kesayangan. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk memprediksi toksisitas senyawa fitokimia dari ekstrak minyak serai wangi (*Cymbopogon nardus*) dan minyak mimba (*Azadirachta indica*) menggunakan pendekatan in silico dengan platform ProTox-II. Senyawa yang dianalisis meliputi limonene, farnesene, eucalyptol, menthol, oleic acid, p-cymene, indole, (9Z)-9-Octadecenamide, amylibenzene, p-cresol, camphor, dan dieugenol, yang dipilih berdasarkan analisis LC-MS/MS. Parameter toksikologi yang dievaluasi mencakup toksisitas akut oral (LD_{50}), hepatotoksitas, imunotoksitas, genotoksitas (sitotoksitas, mutagenitas, karsinogenitas), serta jalur sinyal reseptor nuklir dan respons stres. Hasil menunjukkan bahwa p-cymene memiliki toksisitas tertinggi ($LD_{50} = 3$ mg/kg, kelas I), diikuti oleic acid ($LD_{50} = 48$ mg/kg, kelas II), sedangkan amylibenzene tergolong tidak beracun ($LD_{50} = 6430$ mg/kg, kelas VI). Semua senyawa non-hepatotoksik dan non-imunotoksik, tetapi p-cymene, indole, dan amylibenzene berpotensi karsinogenik. Limonene aktif terhadap reseptor AhR, ER, MMP, dan ATAD5, sedangkan dieugenol aktif terhadap nrf2/ARE, HSE, dan MMP. Prediksi ini menunjukkan bahwa sebagian besar senyawa aman untuk aplikasi sampo, kecuali p-cymene yang memerlukan evaluasi lebih lanjut. Studi ini memberikan wawasan awal untuk pengembangan sampo berbahan alami yang aman dan efektif.

Kata kunci: *Azadirachta indica*, *Cymbopogon nardus*, in silico, senyawa fitokimia, toksisitas

INTRODUCTION

Maintaining the health of companion animals' skin and hair requires effective, safe, and easy-to-apply products, predominantly in the form of shampoos. Shampoos are liquid preparations containing active ingredients capable of caring for and protecting the skin from various diseases (Budreckiene *et al.* 2016). The use of alternative ingredients derived from environmentally friendly natural sources by shampoo manufacturers has increased by 25-30% (Bezerra *et al.* 2023). Natural ingredients that can enhance the effectiveness and efficacy of shampoos in protecting skin and hair health are citronella oil (*Cymbopogon nardus*) and neem oil (*Azadirachta indica*). Citronella oil has antifungal, antiparasitic, effective insect repellent, and antibacterial properties (Mahmud *et al.* 2022). Similarly, neem is recognized for its various medicinal properties, including the treatment of infections, skin problems, pain, and diseases caused by oxidative stress (Sidat *et al.* 2023).

In toxicology studies, in silico methods have become an increasingly popular approach due to their ability to accelerate the toxicity evaluation process, reduce research costs, and minimize the need for animal testing. One computational tool used in toxicity analysis is ProTox-II, a webserver that can predict various toxicological parameters of chemical compounds, including acute oral toxicity, organ toxicity (particularly hepatotoxicity), immunotoxicity, genetic toxicity (mutagenicity, cytotoxicity, and carcinogenicity), as well as nuclear receptor signaling pathways and cellular stress response pathways (Banerjee 2018).

This study aims to predict the toxicity of various phytochemical compounds derived from *C. nardus* and *A. indica* extracts using the ProTox-II. The results of this study are expected to provide preliminary insights into the safety and suitability of utilizing phytochemicals from citronella and neem as active ingredients in shampoo formulations.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Compound Selection

Twelve phytochemical compounds from *Cymbopogon nardus* and *Azadirachta indica* extracts, identified through LC-MS/MS analysis, were selected based on high abundance in the extracts based on LC-MS/MS quantification (compounds with composition >1% were prioritized) and relevant biological activities for shampoo applications (antimicrobial, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory properties). The list of compounds is presented in Table 1.

In Silico Study

The in silico study was conducted using the ProTox-II webserver (Drwal 2014). The results from ProTox-II provide preliminary predictions. In silico studies have several limitations, as they do not account for *in vivo* factors such as bioavailability and synergistic interactions among compounds in the extract. Predictions for the 12 identified phytochemical compounds were performed following the protocol developed by Banerjee (Banerjee 2016).

The parameters analyzed using ProTox-II included acute oral toxicity in rats, with specific reference to the median lethal dose (LD_{50}) in mg/kg, organ-specific toxicity endpoints focusing on hepatotoxic-

Table 1. Phytochemical compounds identified from *C. nardus* and *A. indica* extracts.

No	Compound Name	Composition (%)	Molecular Weight (m/z) (Da)	Biological Activity
1	(E,E)- α - Farnesene	8,51	204,19	antioxidant
2	Limonene	6,55	136,13	antimicrobial
3	Oleic acid	3,49	282,26	anti-inflammatory
4	Eucalyptol	3,47	154,13	antimicrobial
5	Amylbenzene	3,31	148,13	Stability
6	Indole	2,22	117,05	antimicrobial
7	(9Z)-9-Octadecenamide	2	281,27	antioxidant
8	p-Cresol	1,93	108,05	antimicrobial
9	p-cymene	1,79	134,11	antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory
10	Dieugenol	1,54	326,15	anti-inflammatory
11	Menthol	1,34	156,15	anti-inflammatory
12	Camphor	1,19	152,12	antimicrobial

city, immunotoxicity, and genotoxicity (encompassing cytotoxicity, mutagenicity, and carcinogenicity). Furthermore, the analysis covered nuclear receptor signaling pathways (AhR, AR, AR-LBD, ER, ER-LBD, and PPAR γ) and stress response pathways (nrf2/ARE, HSE, MMP, p53, and ATAD5)

RESULTS

Acute Oral Toxicity

The predicted median lethal dose (LD₅₀) values for acute oral toxicity, predictions of various toxicity classes (I-VI), and prediction accuracy in percent for various phytochemical compounds from *Cymbopogon nardus* and *Azadirachta indica* were determined through in silico modeling (Table 2).

According to Drwal et al. (2014), the toxicity classification is as follows: Class I: Fatal if swallowed (LD₅₀ \leq 5 mg/kg). Class II: Fatal if swallowed (5 < LD₅₀ \leq 50 mg/kg). Class III: Toxic if swallowed (50 < LD₅₀ \leq 300 mg/kg). Class IV: Harmful if swallowed (300 < LD₅₀ \leq 2000 mg/kg). Class V: May be harmful if swallowed (2000 < LD₅₀ \leq 5000 mg/kg). Class VI: Non-toxic (LD₅₀ > 5000 mg/kg).

The predicted median lethal dose (LD₅₀) values for acute oral toxicity of compounds identified from *Cymbopogon nardus* and *Azadirachta indica* oils, determined through in silico modeling (Table 2), were systematically classified according to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) guidelines for acute systemic toxicity (OECD Test Guideline 423 and Globally Harmonized System [GHS] criteria), as indicated by the prediction accuracy percentages (OECD, 2001). Discrepancies between predicted and experimental OECD values

highlight the limitations of in silico tools (Banerjee et al., 2018)

Based on Table 2, p-cymene has the lowest LD₅₀ of 3 mg/kg (Class I), categorized as fatal if swallowed (LD₅₀ \leq 5 mg/kg), with a high prediction accuracy of 100%. Oleic acid shows a toxicity level of LD₅₀ of 48 mg/kg (Class II), categorized as fatal if swallowed (5 < LD₅₀ \leq 50), also with a prediction accuracy of 100%.

Similar LD₅₀ values were obtained for p-cresol and 3-tropanol, namely 160 mg/kg and 227 mg/kg, which fall into Class III (toxic if swallowed, 50 < LD₅₀ \leq 300) with prediction accuracies of 100% for p-cresol. The compounds indole, (9Z)-9-octadecenamide, camphor, menthol, limonene, and dieugenol have LD₅₀ values of 360 mg/kg, 750 mg/kg, 775 mg/kg, 940 mg/kg, 1900 mg/kg, and 1930 mg/kg, respectively, falling into Class IV (harmful if swallowed, 300 < LD₅₀ \leq 2000) with prediction accuracies of 72.9%, 69.26%, 100%, 100%, 100%, and 68.07%, respectively.

The compounds eucalyptol, and farnesene, have LD₅₀ values of 2480 mg/kg, and 3650 mg/kg, were categorized in Class V (may be harmful if swallowed, 2000 < LD₅₀ \leq 5000) with prediction accuracies of 100% except for farnesene at 69.26%. Finally, amylobenzene exhibited the lowest toxicity with LD₅₀ of 6430 mg/kg, categorized in Class VI (non-toxic, LD₅₀ > 5000 mg/kg) with a prediction accuracy of 70.97%.

Hepatotoxicity and Immunotoxicity

All compounds are non-hepatotoxic and non-immunotoxic (Table 3), with probabilities of 0.55–0.93 (hepatotoxicity) and 0.75–0.99 (immunotoxicity).

Table 2. Predictions of acute oral toxicity, class, and accuracy from *C. nardus* and *A. indica* compounds.

No	Compound Name	Predicted Oral LD ₅₀ (mg/Kg)	Predicted Toxicity Class	Prediction accuracy (%) (OECD/GHS)
1	p-cymene	3	I	100
2	Oleic acid	48	II	100
3	p-Cresol	160	III	100
4	Indole	360	IV	72,9
5	(9Z)-9-Octadecenamide	750	IV	69,26
6	Camphor	775	IV	100
7	Menthol	940	IV	100
8	Limonene	1900	IV	100
9	Dieugenol	1930	IV	68,07
10	Eucalyptol	2480	V	100
11	Farnesene	3650	V	69,26
12	Amylbenzene	6430	VI	70,97

Genotoxicity

Regarding genotoxicity (Table 4), all compounds were predicted to be non-cytotoxic and non-mutagenic. However, the predictions of genetic toxicity with the carcinogenicity parameter show that p-cymene, indole, and amylibenzene exhibit carcinogenic properties with probability scores of 0,67, 0,53, and 0,53, respectively.

Nuclear Receptor Signaling Pathways

The prediction results for Nuclear Receptor Signaling Pathways in Table 5, showed specific activity, limonene is active against the Aryl hydrocarbon Receptor (AhR) with a probability score of 0.93. The other 14 compounds are inactive against AhR. The Androgen Receptor (AR) parameter shows that all predicted compounds are inactive against AR. The Androgen Receptor Ligand Binding Domain (AR-LBD) parameter shows that p-cymene and indole are active against AR-LBD with probability scores of 1,0 and 0,99. The Aromatase (Aro) parameter shows that all compounds are inactive. Predictions for limonene show activity against the Estrogen Receptor Alpha (ER) parameter. The other compounds show inactivity against ER. The Estrogen Receptor Ligand Binding Domain (ER-LBD) and Peroxisome Proliferator Activated Receptor Gamma (PPAR-Gamma) parameters show that all compounds are inactive.

Stress Response Pathways

Based on Table 6, the Tox21 stress pathway predictions provided insights into the potential toxicity and biological effects of compounds, whether protective, neutral, or harmful to cells and body defense mechanisms. The predictions show that potentially active compounds are limonene for MMP and ATAD5 parameters with probability scores of 0,90 and 0,93. Farnesene and oleic acid for nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2/antioxidant responsive element (nrf2/ARE) and Heat shock factor response element (HSE) with probability scores of 1.0 and 0.91, respectively. Dieugenol show predicted activity against nrf2/ARE, HSE, and MMP with probability scores of 0,51, 0,51, and 0,77. All other compounds show inactive properties.

Based on Table 6, farnesene, oleic acid, and dieugenol show predicted activity against the nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2/antioxidant responsive element (nrf2/ARE) and Heat shock factor response element (HSE). These processes are predicted to trigger the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) causing oxidative stress in cells. In the first case, the antioxidant response element (ARE) serves as a protective mechanism (Simmons *et al.*, 2009). In the second case, another stress response pathway, the heat shock factor response element (HSE), increases transcription of genes producing heat shock proteins, which can cause protein denaturation due to chemical exposure (Voellmy and Boellmann, 2007).

Table 3. Predictions of Organ Toxicity and Immunotoxicity Endpoints from the Compounds

No	Compound Name	Hepatotoxicity	Probability	Immunotoxicity	Probability
1	Limonene	I	0,76	I	0,95
2	Farnesene	I	0,79	I	0,99
3	Eucalyptol	I	0,86	I	0,99
4	Menthol	I	0,77	I	0,99
5	Oleic acid	I	0,55	I	0,99
6	p-cymene	I	0,87	I	0,99
7	Indole	I	0,55	I	0,99
8	(9Z)-9-Octadecenamide	I	0,82	I	0,92
9	Amylibenzene	I	0,82	I	0,97
10	p-Cresol	I	0,79	I	0,99
11	Camphor	I	0,72	I	0,96
12	Dieugenol	I	0,67	I	0,75

I = Inactive; and A = Active

Table 4. Predictions of Genetic Toxicity Parameters from the Studied Compounds

No	Compound Name	Cytotoxicity	P	Mutagenicity	P	Carcinogenicity	P
1	Limonene	0,91	I	0,99	I	0,68	I
2	Farnesene	0,81	I	0,98	I	0,73	I
3	Eucalyptol	0,75	I	0,96	I	0,68	I
4	Menthol	0,88	I	0,73	I	0,89	I
5	Oleic acid	0,71	I	1,0	I	0,64	I
6	p-cymene	0,89	I	0,98	I	0,67	A
7	Indole	0,90	I	0,82	I	0,53	A
8	(9Z)-9-Octadecenamide	0,72	I	0,93	I	0,60	I
9	Amylbenzene	0,84	I	0,98	I	0,53	A
10	p-Cresol	0,88	I	0,99	I	0,68	I
11	Camphor	0,61	I	0,94	I	0,68	I
12	Dieugenol	0,99	I	0,85	I	0,66	I

I = Inactive; A = Active and P = Probability

Table 5. Predictions of Tox21 Nuclear Receptor Signaling Pathways

No	Compound Name	AhR	P	AR	P	AR-LBD	P	Aro	P	ER	P	ER-LBD	P	PPAR-Gamma	P
1	Limonene	0,93	A	0,99	I	0,99	I	0,98	I	0,94	A	1,0	I	0,99	I
2	Farnesene	1,0	I	0,99	I	0,99	I	1,0	I	0,98	I	0,99	I	1,0	I
3	Eucalyptol	0,98	I	0,99	I	1,0	I	0,98	I	0,96	I	0,97	I	0,99	I
4	Menthol	0,99	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	0,98	I	1,0	I	0,99	I
5	Oleic acid	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	0,90	I
6	p-cymene	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	A	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	I
7	Indole	0,77	I	0,99	I	0,99	A	0,98	I	0,98	I	0,99	I	0,99	I
8	(9Z)-9-Octadecenamide	0,99	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	0,98	I	0,99	I	0,99	I
9	Amylbenzene	0,99	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	0,98	I	0,99	I	1,0	I	0,99	I
10	p-Cresol	0,99	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	0,99	I	0,99	I	1,0	I
11	Camphor	1,0	I	0,99	I	1,0	I	0,99	I	0,99	I	0,99	I	1,0	I
12	Dieugenol	0,62	I	0,97	I	0,98	I	0,84	I	0,59	A	0,57	I	0,75	I

AhR = Aryl hydrocarbon Receptor; AR = Androgen receptor; AR-LBD = Androgen Receptor Ligand Binding Domain; Aro = Aromatase; ER = Estrogen Receptor Alpha; ER-LBD = Estrogen Receptor Ligand Binding Domain; PPAR-Gamma = Peroxisome Proliferator Activated Receptor Gamma; I = Inactive; A = Active and P = Probability

Table 6. Predictions of Tox21 Stress Response Pathways

No	Compound Name	nrf2/ARE	P	HSE	P	MMP	P	p53	P	ATAD5	P
1	Limonene	0,98	I	0,98	I	0,90	A	1,0	I	0,93	A
2	Farnesene	1,0	A	1,0	A	0,99	I	1,0	I	1,0	I
3	Eucalyptol	0,99	I	0,99	I	0,89	I	0,99	I	0,99	I
4	Menthol	1,0	I	1,0	I	0,99	I	0,99	I	0,99	I
5	Oleic acid	0,91	A	0,91	A	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	I
6	p-cymene	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	I	1,0	I
7	Indole	0,99	I	0,99	I	0,97	I	0,98	I	0,99	I
8	(9Z)-9-Octadecenamide	0,98	I	0,98	I	0,96	I	0,98	I	1,0	I
9	Amylbenzene	0,99	I	0,99	I	0,98	I	1,0	I	1,0	I
10	p-Cresol	1,0	I	1,0	I	0,98	I	0,99	I	1,0	I
11	Camphor	0,98	I	0,98	I	0,98	I	0,99	I	1,0	I
12	Dieugenol	0,51	A	0,51	A	0,77	A	0,50	I	0,82	I

nrf2/ARE = Nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2/antioxidant responsive element; HSE = Heat shock factor response element; MMP = Mitochondrial Membrane Potential; p53 = Phosphoprotein (tumour suppressor); ATAD5 = ATPase family AAA domain-containing protein 5; I = Inactive; A = Active and P = Probability

DISCUSSION

Twelve phytochemical compounds from *Cymbopogon nardus* and *Azadirachta indica* were selected based on their high abundance in the extracts via LC-MS/MS analysis, relevant biological activities for shampoo applications (antimicrobial, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory properties), clear chemical structures, and potential toxicity risks (Banerjee *et al.* 2018). Compounds such as limonene, eucalyptol, and menthol (*C. nardus*), and oleic acid and (9Z)-9-octadecenamide (*A. indica*) reflect the characteristic chemical profiles of these plants, including terpenoids and fatty acids. The novelty of this study lies in the preliminary safety evaluation of natural ingredients for pet shampoos, an area with limited prior research, with recommendations for *in vivo* testing to optimize formulations (Kolodkin *et al.*, 2010).

Acute Oral Toxicity

Based on acute oral toxicity data in rats (LD_{50}) in mg/kg (Table 2), 75% (n=9) of the compounds fall within Categories IV–VI, indicating low to negligible acute oral toxicity. This making their potential safety for topical application in pet shampoo formulations at controlled doses (Drawl *et al.*, 2014). In silico toxicity predictions using ProTox-II revealed significant variability, with practical implications for developing pet shampoos. Although oral LD_{50} does not directly

reflect topical risks, its relevance is significant due to the high potential for accidental ingestion through grooming behavior in animals (Budreckiene *et al.*, 2016).

In silico predictions using ProTox-II revealed significant variability, with compounds like p-Cymene ($LD_{50} = 3$ mg/kg, Class I) and oleic acid ($LD_{50} = 48$ mg/kg, Class II) exhibit high predicted toxicity, while amylobenzene ($LD_{50} = 6430$ mg/kg, Class VI) is predicted to be non-toxic (Banerjee 2018). p-Cymene, an aromatic monoterpenoid, displays high toxicity due to its volatile properties, which affect the nervous system (Mahmud *et al.*, 2022). Oleic acid poses risks at high doses (Sidat *et al.*, 2022). Compounds in Class IV (limonene, menthol) and Class V (eucalyptol, farnesene) are safe for topical application in controlled doses (Bezerra *et al.*, 2023). Compounds such as limonene, eucalyptol, and menthol, can be used in pet shampoos at concentrations of 0.5–1%, supporting environmentally friendly alternatives (Sidat *et al.*, 2023)

Hepatotoxicity and Immunotoxicity

All compounds were predicted to be non-hepatotoxic and non-immunotoxic, supporting the overall safety of Category IV–VI compounds for topical applications (Drwal *et al.*, 2014). Terpenoid structures, such as limonene, are safer compared to long-chain fatty acids. This profile confirms the safe-

ty of menthol for use in pet shampoos (Bezerra et al. 2023)

Genotoxicity

All compounds are non-cytotoxic and non-mutagenic. However, p-cymene, indole, and amylbenzene showed potential carcinogenicity. The carcinogenicity of p-cymene is associated with its reactive metabolites (Mahmud et al. 2022), while indole poses risks due to its heterocyclic nature (Banerjee et al. 2018). Limonene and eucalyptol are non-carcinogenic, consistent with their use in cosmetics (Bezerra et al. 2023).

Nuclear Receptor Signaling Pathways

Based on the prediction results, limonene is active against AhR and ER, dieugenol is active against ER. p-Cymene and indole are active against AR-LBD. The other compounds show inactivity against AhR, AR, AR-LBD, Aro, ER, ER-LBD, and PPAR-gamma. According to Kolodkin (2010), nuclear receptor signaling plays a role in maintaining cell growth and development, inflammation, and metabolism, with dynamic ligand distribution. Some nuclear receptors are more abundant in the cell nucleus (such as pregnane X receptor and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma), while others are in both compartments (vitamin D receptor and mineralocorticoid receptor) or more in the cytoplasm (glucocorticoid receptor and androgen receptor).

Stress Response Pathways

Another stress response pathway, mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) shows limonene and dieugenol as active. Mitochondria have double membranes that provide energy to cells through oxidative phosphorylation and prevent apoptosis (Hill et al., 2018). Mitochondrial stress caused by toxins can cause various diseases (Meyer et al., 2018). According to Richter et al. (2019), toxins inhibit mitochondrial protein synthesis and block stress responses.

Limonene exhibits activity toward ATPase family AAA domain-containing protein 5 (ATAD5) with a probability score of 0.93 (approaching 1.0), indicating high confidence in the in silico model's prediction of limonene's interaction with or influence on ATAD5 activity (Banerjee et al., 2018). ATAD5, a member of the ATPase protein family, plays a critical role in the DNA damage response, functioning as part of cellular protection mechanisms against genotoxic stress.

Limonene maintains MMP by preventing depolarization caused by reactive oxygen species (ROS), reducing apoptosis through the stabilization of ion channels and antioxidant enzymes (Kim et al., 2014). Furthermore, limonene mitigates DNA damage from oxidative stress via the mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR_{mt}) pathway. This supports the safety of limonene for use in pet shampoos at low concentrations (<1%), though high doses may risk inducing excessive cellular stress. Dieugenol activates nrf2/ARE, HSE, and MMP due to its phenolic structure, inducing protective heat shock proteins and antioxidant responses, but potentially causing oxidative stress at high doses (Kim et al., 2014).

These predictions indicate that most compounds such as limonene, eucalyptol, and menthol (Categories IV-V), are safe for shampoo applications, being non-genotoxic and suitable at 0.5-1% concentrations. However, p-cymene, requires avoided or used cautiously due to its high acute toxicity and carcinogenicity potential.

Oleic acid and indole which requires further testing due to moderate toxicity or carcinogenicity risks. This study provides preliminary insights for safe and effective natural-based shampoos the development, with recommendations include dose optimization and in vivo dermal studies to validate these computational findings.

"The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest with any parties involved in this research."

REFERENCES

- Banerjee P, Eckert AO, Schrey AK, Preissner R. 2018. ProTox-II: a webserver for the prediction of toxicity of chemicals. *Nucleic acids research*. 46(W1): W257-W263.
- Banerjee P, Siramshetty VB, Drwal MN, Preissner R. 2016. Computational methods for prediction of in vitro effects of new chemical structures. *Journal of cheminformatics*. 8: 1-11.
- Bezerra KG, Meira H, M Veras B, O Stamford T, C Fernandes E, L Converti A, Sarubbo LA. 2023. Application of plant surfactants as cleaning agents in shampoo formulations. *Processes*. 11(3): 879.
- Budreckiene, Ruta, Irmante Malinskaite, Ivaskiene M. 2016. "Dogs' shampoos for the coat care." *American Scientific Research Journal for Engineering, Technology, and Sciences*. 26(1): 224-229.

Drwal MN, Banerjee P, Dunkel M, Wettig MR, Preissner R. 2014. ProTox: a web server for the in silico prediction of rodent oral toxicity. *Nucleic acids research*. 42(W1): W53-W58.

Hill S, Sataranatarajan K, Remmen HV. 2018. Role of signaling molecules in mitochondrial stress response. *FrontGenet*. 9:225 doi:10.3389/fgene.2018.00225

Ishii H, Inageta T, Mimori K, Saito T, Sasaki H, Isobe M, Mori M, Croce CM, Huebner K. 2005. homolog of alternative replication factor C subunits, links replication stress surveillance with apoptosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 102:96559660.

Kolodkin AN, Bruggeman FJ, Plant N, Moné MJ, Bakker BM, Campbell MJ, Westerhoff HV. 2010. Design principles of nuclear receptor signaling: how complex networking improves signal transduction. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 6(1), 446.

Mahmud F, Mahedi MRA, Afrin S, Haque R, Hasan MS, Sum FA, Bary A, Syrmos N, Kuri OC. 2022. Biological, insecticidal effect of citronella oil: a short review. *Clinical Medicine And Health Research Journal*. 2(6): 261-265.

Meyer JN, Hartman JH, Mello DF. 2018. Mitochondrial toxicity. *Toxicological Sciences*. 162(1):15-23

OECD. 2001. OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals 423: Acute Oral Toxicity – Acute Toxic Class Method. Available at: https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-423-acute-oral-toxicity-acute-toxic-class-method_9789264071001-en

Richter U, Ng KY, Suomi F, Marttinen P, Turunen T, Jackson C. 2019. Mitochondrial stress response triggered by defects in protein synthesis quality control. *Life Sci Alliance* 1sa.201800219.

Sidat P, Modh S, Chavda N, Chauhan V, Kankura G, Dindoliwala A. 2023. Neem (Azadirachta indica): A Panacea of all Diseases. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 22(1): 2.

Simmons SO, Fan CY, Ramabhadran R. 2009. Cellular stress response pathway system as a sentinel ensemble in toxicological screening. *Toxicological Sciences*. 111(2):202- 225

Voellmy R, Boellmann F. 2007. Chaperone regulation of the heat shock protein response. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 594:89-99