Physical and Microbiological Qualities of Kampong-Broiler Crossbred Chickens Meat Raised in Different Stocking Densities
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ABSTRACT

The crossbreeding between broiler and kampong chickens has been performed to develop a kampong-broiler strain chicken. The chicken stocking condition needs more attention as a part of animal welfare. This study was performed to identify the relationship between the stocking density and the stress based on Temperature Humidity Index (THI) and the effect of stocking density on meat quality, i.e., physical, microbiological, and organoleptic. Ninety DOCs of Kampong-Broiler (KB) were assigned into a completely randomized design with 3 treatments of stocking density i.e., 8, 10, and 12 birds/m². Each treatment was replicated 3 times. The experimental chickens were housed in 9 blocks of housing each with 1 x 1 m² size. Data on physical and microbiology of meat qualities were analyzed with analysis of variance and continued with Duncan’s multiple range test. The organoleptic data were analyzed by using Kruskal-Wallis test. The result showed that the stocking density did not significantly affect the physical and hedonic quality of KB chicken’s breast. The stocking densities significantly affected (P<0.05) the microbiological variables of breast meat. The average value of THI during maintenance reached 28.98±1.25–29.33±1.32°C. The higher the animal density the higher the THI value that correlated to the stress condition. However, high stocking density did not affect the physical and hedonic quality of breast meat, thus it can be accepted by the consumers. The higher the stocking density the higher the total plate count, Escherichia coli, and Staphylococcus aureus, without the presence of Salmonella sp. The meat quality of KB chickens raised in the stocking density of 10 birds/m² meets the requirement of SNI 01-3924-2009.
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INTRODUCTION

Kampong chicken is the most dominant and accepted poultry commodity in the rural community. The low reproductive and growth rates of kampong chickens cause a low meat production. Broiler chicken is a strain of chicken with a high productivity, especially in meat production. The effort to improve the meat productivity of kampong chickens were conducted by crossbreeding, especially with broiler.

The increased stocking density in poultry industry affects the productivity due to the competition that eventually decreases the growth rate (Zuowei et al., 2011). This condition may lead to an unoptimum productivity. According to Berri et al. (2008), the higher or lower stocking density affects the carcass quality, especially the breast and thigh. Besides, the stock density is the main priority of animal welfare that affects the poultry products (Vanhonacker et al., 2009). The higher stocking density reduces the broiler performance due to several factors. The side effects of high stocking density are the increase in ammonia pollution in the cage and reduction in the access to feed and water, in addition to the poor air quality caused by the insufficient air exchange. The high environment temperature causes a reduction of heat dissipation from the animal’s body to the environment (Feddes et al., 2002). According to Iskandar et al. (2014), the stocking density of 8 birds /0.405 m² for Wareng-Tangerang chicken could provide the life comfort based on the genetic potential. Meanwhile, the density of 15 birds/m² affects the performance of grower laying hens (Gustira & Kurtini, 2015).

Meat is a food stuff with a high potential hazards of biological, physical, and chemical contaminations. Meat should be hazardous-safe and free from microbial contamination or other contents. Jayalakshmi et al. (2009) reports that the stocking density can affect the bacterial composition of litter that is related to the change in the gut microflora. Cengiz et al. (2015) finds that carcass yields are not significantly affected by the stocking density. Thus, this study aimed to identify the relationship between stress and stocking density based on Temperature Humidity Index (THI) and the effect of stocking density on meat quality based on physical, microbiological, and sensory parameters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Design

The crossbreeding was performed naturally by mating of kampong rooster with broiler hen with the ratio of 1:5 for each coop. The daily egg collection was performed every morning and afternoon. The rearing was performed for 12 weeks in 9 plots each with the size of 1x1 m². A number of 90 birds each plot contained an experimental unit with different densities of 8, 10, and 12 birds/m², thus 3 groups were served as replicates. The coop’s floor used paddy chaff, equipped with the feeder, drinker, thermometer, and heater (incandescent light). The feed and drinking water were available ad libitum and feed and water consumptions were calculated daily.

After 12 weeks of rearing, 30% of the population of each plot was randomly selected for slaughtering, and each brisket per sample was separated to measure physical, microbiological, and sensory parameters of meat quality.

Physical Quality Analyses

pH value (Kirmaci & Singh, 2012). pH of chicken brisket was measured in duplicate according to a direct probe method using pH meter (Hanna Instruments, USA) that had been calibrated using pH 4 and pH 7 buffer solutions.

Water Holding Capacity (Honikel & Hamm, 1994). An amount of 0.5 g meat was placed on filter paper between 2 stainless steel plates, then 35 kg weight was loaded to the meat for 5 min. The flattened meat-covered area and wet area surrounding the meat would appear in the filter paper. The wet area was obtained by the subtraction of the whole wet area appeared with the flattened meat-covered area. WHC was calculated based on the percentage between the wet area and the total area.

Cooking loss (Tijare et al., 2016). The breast was weighed and cooked in an aluminum foil-covered pan with internal temperature of 76°C. The temperature was controlled by using a digital thermometer. The cooked breast was then cooled at room temperature and weighed immediately to calculate the cooking loss.

Tenderness (Bowker et al., 2014). The breast was cooked in vacuum bags at 78°C. Every breast was sampled by using made corer diameter of 1 cm and sheared using Warner-Bratzler shear device. The tenderness was recorded as kg cm².

Microbiological Analysis (FDA et al., 2001)

Nine samples of KB Rooster’s breast was used in microbiological analysis. The meat from breast roosters were taken homogeneously from 27 samples. An amount of 25 g breast was put into 225 mL buffered pepton water (BPW) and homogenized, then allowed to stand for 30 min to get a 10⁻¹ dilution. As much as 1 mL suspension was pipette-transferred until getting a 10⁻⁶ dilution.

Total Plate Count (TPC) quantitative analysis. As much as 1 mL suspension from 10⁻¹–10⁻⁶ dilutions was transferred into petridishes in duplicate, then added 20 mL Plate Count Agar (PCA) medium and homogenized. The petridishes were incubated at 37°C for 36 h.

Escherichia coli quantitative analysis. As much as 1 mL suspension from 10⁻¹–10⁻³ dilutions were aseptic-pipetted into petridishes in duplicate, then added 20 mL Eosin Methylen Blue Agar (EMBA) medium and homogenized. Petridishes were incubated at 37°C for 36 h.

Staphylococcus aureus quantitative analysis. As much as 1 mL suspension from 10⁻¹–10⁻³ dilutions were...
aseptic-pipetted in duplicate into petridishes that were already filled with 15–20 mL Baird Parker Agar (BPA) medium + 5% egg yolk and homogenized. The suspension was then well-spread on the media surface using hockey stick and allowed to stand for ± 30 min at room temperature. The media were incubated upside down at 37°C for 36 h.

_Salmonella_ sp. quantitative analysis. As much as 1 mL suspension from 10^-3-10^-4 dilutions were aseptic-pipetted in duplicate into petridishes, then added 20 mL Xylene Lysine Deoxycholate Agar (XLD) medium and homogenized. The petridishes were incubated upside down at 37°C for 36 h.

**Sensory Test (Arief et al., 2014)**

The determination of the hedonic quality test was conducted according to the principle evaluation of panelists. All of panelists were asked to record the results into the form provided (1–5 scales). Forty trained panelists were employed to provide the explanation and guidance for hedonic quality test-aroma, tasting, texturing, and color appearance with scoring.

**Temperature-Humidity Index (THI) (Tao & Xin, 2003)**

THI was measured using dry and wet thermometer mounted in each plot of the coop (50 cm above the coop’s floor). Data were taken 3 times a day (07.00 am, 12.00 pm, and 17.00 pm), then the THI was calculated using the following formula:

\[
THI = 0.85 T_{db} + 0.15 T_{wb}
\]

\[
THI = \text{temperature-humidity index (°C)}
\]

\[
T_{db} = \text{dry-bulb temperature (°C)}
\]

\[
T_{wb} = \text{wet-bulb temperature (°C)}
\]

**Statistical Analysis**

The physical and microbiological quality data were analyzed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA). The significantly different treatments were further-tested by Duncan’s multiple range test. The sensory data were analyzed using the non-parametric statistical Kruskal-Wallis test (Mattjik & Sumertajaya, 2002).

**RESULTS**

**Physical Quality of KB Rooster’s Breast**

The physical qualities of KB rooster’s breast data are shown in Table 1. The different stocking densities of Kampong-Broiler (KB) crossbred chicken did not affect (P>0.05) the physical quality, i.e. pH, WHC, and cooking loss. The pH value of KB chicken’s meat ranged between 5.46-5.43. This pH value was lower compared to that of broiler, but higher than that of kampong chicken. The highest of WHC value of the KB chickens was at a density of 12 birds/m² (27.93±2.47%). The cooking losses value ranged from 40.53%-42.60% with a mean value of 41.36%.

On the other side, stocking density significantly affected (P<0.05) the tenderness of the KB Rooster’s breast. The cage density of 10 and 12 birds/m² produced a softer breast compared to the cage density of 8 birds/m².

**Microbiological Quality of KB Rooster’s Breast**

The different densities of Kampong-Broiler (KB) crossbred chicken affected (P<0.05) the microbiological quality of KB rooster’s breast. However, there was no contamination of Salmonella bacteria was found in the samples (Table 2).

The breast of KB chickens raised in the density of 12 birds/m² was found to have higher TPC (P<0.05) compared to those raised in the densities of 8 and 10 birds/m². The _E. coli_ contaminations of breast in the FB chickens raised at the densities of 8 and 10 birds/m² were not significantly different, but significantly different (P<0.05) from those raised at the density of 12 birds/m² with a value of 5.70±1.28 log cfu/g. The stocking densities of 8 and 10 birds/m² generated the _S. aureus_ contaminations of 2.71±0.02 and 3.25±0.28 log cfu/g, respectively, which were lower than that of generated by the stocking density of 12 birds/m², i.e., 3.56±0.06 log cfu/g.

**Sensory Evaluation on Hedonic Quality of KB Rooster’s Brisk**

The different stocking densities of KB crossbred chicken did not affect the aroma, taste, texture, and color appearance of the brisk (Table 3). The result showed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density (birds/m²)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Water holding capacity (%)</th>
<th>Tenderness (kg/cm²)</th>
<th>Cooking loss (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.43±0.08</td>
<td>27.17±2.71</td>
<td>4.00±1.83</td>
<td>42.60±2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.49±0.18</td>
<td>27.88±1.60</td>
<td>2.78±0.88</td>
<td>40.53±1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.46±0.08</td>
<td>28.74±3.09</td>
<td>2.50±0.30</td>
<td>40.94±5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±sd</td>
<td>5.46±0.11</td>
<td>27.93±2.47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41.36±3.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Means in the same column differ significantly (P<0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density (birds/m²)</th>
<th>TPC= total plate count</th>
<th><em>E. coli</em></th>
<th><em>S. aureus</em></th>
<th><em>Salmonella</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.93±1.79</td>
<td>3.24±0.06</td>
<td>2.71±0.02</td>
<td>Negatitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.41±0.24</td>
<td>2.89±0.06</td>
<td>3.25±0.28</td>
<td>Negatitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10.44±0.47</td>
<td>5.70±1.28</td>
<td>3.56±0.06</td>
<td>Negatitive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05). TPC= total plate count.
different stocking densities could maintain the aroma of breast meat. The range of average value of the taste found in breast was 3.19±0.83. The texture of breast meat of KB chickens raised in different stocking densities in this study had a value of coarse to a bit coarse. The appearance of breast meat of FB chickens raised at stocking density of 8 birds/m² showed a brighter color, while those of FB chickens raised at stocking density of 12 birds/m² had the darker color.

Temperature-Humidity Index (THI)

The result indicated that the average of daily THI were 28.98±1.25°C for 8 birds/m² density, 29.26±1.27°C for 10 birds/m² density, and 29.33±1.32°C for 12 birds/m² density. The increase in THI for each data collection was observed at the range of 2.13–2.46°C.

**DISCUSSION**

**Physical Quality of KB Rooster’s Breast**

**pH value.** According to Janisch et al. (2011), the range of pH values in breast of three different strains of broiler chickens is 5.91-5.93; while the range of pH values of kampong chicken’s meat is 5.10-5.40 (Dewi, 2013). This indicates that the pH value of KB chicken’s breast meat is between those of broiler and kampong chicken. This difference might be due to the cross breeding and genetic differences of both broiler and kampong chickens. Stress before cutting, species, individual, and muscle type, which affect glycolysis are factors that can generate a variation in the meat pH. The decline of pH up to a critical value might be caused by the decline of muscle glycogen content due to glycolysis after slaughtering as an energy source that enzymatically produced lactic acid that eventually decreased the meat pH (Lawrie & Ledward, 2006). Animals which were calm during slaughtering would have enough glycogen reserves with higher pH, while the stress animals would have lower pH because of the rapid use of glycogen reserves.

**Water holding capacity (WHC).** The ability of meat to retain water or water holding capacity (WHC) is influenced by several factors, such as pH, production of lactic acid, and protein oxidation (Samuel et al., 2011). The range of pH values in this research was 5.43-5.49 and it is still in the range of normal condition. WHC has relation with pH in curvilinear form with minimum water holding capacity at pH 5.0-5.5; the pH value above or below this range will cause the improvement of WHC progressively (Warriss, 2001). Meat with higher WHC has better quality than meat with a low WHC. Meat with higher WHC will have more space for water molecules that will increase the meat tenderness (Sudarman et al., 2014). According to Samuel et al. (2011), meat with high WHC is perfect for refined products, because the WHC value will less decrease during cooking.

**Tenderness.** According to An et al. (2010), intermuscular fat can affect the tenderness by decreasing the muscle connective tissue strength, resulting in the tenderer muscle. Perimisium and endomisium are involved in determining the tenderness. The cage density of 10 and 12 birds/m² produced a softer breast. This might be caused by the low activity as the consequence of high density that make the limited space for the movement, the low-structured of myofibril at breast, and the lack of meat protein content. Changes in the structure of connective tissues causes improvement of tenderness in meats, while at the same time heat-denaturation of myofibrillar proteins generally causes meats toughening (Li et al., 2013).

**Cooking loss.** According to Hartono et al. (2013), cooking loss is also influenced by pH. This study found a relatively similar breast pH value of KB chicken that was between 5.43±0.08-5.49±0.18 that eventually resulted in the relatively similar cooking loss. According to Dilaga (2012), a good quality meat has a low cooking loss due to the low nutrients loss during cooking.

**Microbiological Quality of KB Rooster’s Breast**

**Total Plate Count (TPC).** The contamination of carcass was majority obtained from the fecal contamination during carcass preparation. According to Berrang et al. (2011), bacteria found in the carcass were obtained from the air or feces contaminating the skin and meat. The brisk is located close to the internal organs and digestive tract that has a higher chance of microbial contamination (Irmanita et al., 2016).

Table 3. Sensory evaluation on hedonic quality of breast meat of Kampong-broiler (KB) rooster raised at different stocking densities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density (birds/m²)</th>
<th>Aroma</th>
<th>Taste</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.88±0.88</td>
<td>3.23±0.70</td>
<td>3.23±0.89</td>
<td>3.58±0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.45±0.64</td>
<td>3.05±0.75</td>
<td>3.03±0.66</td>
<td>3.43±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.83±0.87</td>
<td>3.30±1.04</td>
<td>3.25±0.84</td>
<td>3.15±0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±sd</td>
<td>3.72±0.80</td>
<td>3.19±0.83</td>
<td>3.17±0.80</td>
<td>3.39±0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Aroma: 1=very fishy, rotten, very rancid; 2= fishy, a bit rotten, rancid; 3= bit fishy; 4= less fishy; 5= typical meat, not fishy
Flavor: 1= not tasteful; 2= fewer tasteful; 3= fewer tasteful; 4= tasteful; 5= very tasteful
Texture: 1= very rough; 2= rough; 3= little rough; 4= soft, tender; 5= very soft, tender
Color: 1= very pale; 2= not pale; 3= pale; 4= little brighter; 5= bright typical meat

Table 4. Average temperature humidity index (THI) cages of Kampong-broiler (KB) chickens raised at different stocking densities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density (birds/m²)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Daily average of THI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7 a.m.</td>
<td>28.50±1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7 a.m.</td>
<td>27.57±1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>7 a.m.</td>
<td>27.71±1.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fresh chicken meat has enough water content and at room temperature this condition will be an ideal media for the growth of pathogenic bacteria (Kusumaningrum et al., 2013). The safety and feasibility of chicken meat should be prioritized as early as possible (Aerita, 2014) because chicken meat is vulnerable to contamination by microorganisms prior to harvesting or cutting (primary contamination) and after harvesting or cutting (secondary pollution).

**Escherichia coli.** The *E. coli* contamination of breast in the FB chickens raised at the density of 10 birds/m² was lower than those of raised at densities of 8 and 12 birds/m². Contamination of chicken meat from surrounding environment occurs continuously from bleeding until consumption. According to Morshedly & Sallam (2009), the scalding, plucking, and eviscerating stages have significant effects on bacterial contamination. Sanitation and handling are also favorable factors of *E. coli* contamination in the food products processing (Dewantoro et al., 2009).

**Staphylococcus aureus.** The sources of *S. aureus* found in the breast can be the contents of the digestive tract. According to Berrang et al. (2011), the contaminated bacteria found on the carcass might be originated from the air or feces.

Based on SNI 01-3924-2009 standard, the number of *S. aureus* population in the breast of FB chickens raised at the density of 8 birds/m² is still in a normal range, while that of FB chickens raised at the densities of 10 and 12 birds/m² were beyond the maximum limit. According to Nurwantor et al. (2011), the cases of food poisoning are usually occurred if the *S. aureus* population reached 10⁵ cfu/g or more.

**Salmonella sp.** *Salmonella* is the zoonotic foodborne disease causing pathogen (Russell, 2012). The test result indicated the negative result of *Salmonella* in breast (Table 2). According to SNI 01-3924-2009 about the maximum microbial contamination in food, the good quality chicken’s meat must not contain *Salmonella* sp.

**Sensory Evaluation on Hedonic Quality of KB Rooster’s Breast**

The hedonic quality found in the breast ranged from a bit fishy to a less fishy. This result indicated that different stocking densities could maintain the aroma of breast meat. According to Kamboh & Zhu (2013), chicken meat has a relatively low fat content and high concentration of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA). A high level of polyunsaturation accelerates lipid per-oxidation, leading to deterioration of meat odor, color, texture, flavor, and nutritional value (Toufektsian et al., 2011). Besides, the smell of breast was difficult to be distinguished by the panelists, causing no different was found in the aroma among the breast meat of FB chickens raised at different stocking densities.

According to Jayasena et al. (2013), the taste and aroma of meats are important in determining the meat quality. Various meats have distinctive traits in taste. The taste of meat is affected by the strain of chickens, feed, and cooking process (Jayasena et al., 2013). The volatile contents in the meat react to their receptors in the mouth that will eventually determine the meat quality that tastes relatively tasteful (Owens, 2010).

The texture of breast meat of FB chickens raised in different stocking densities in this study had a value of coarse to a bit coarse. The meat texture might be affected by pH (Abustam, 2012). According to Jayasena et al. (2013), the range of pH from 5.1 to 6.1 causes an open structure of meat that improves the easiness of teeth in cutting the meat into smaller fragments. This means that the meat fibers are almost at the same appearance. The meat texture is affected by connective tissue content, especially collagen, that have a role in determining the hardness of muscle (Abustam, 2012). According to Jung et al. (2013), the breast consists of 90% white muscle fibers.

Colors are elements that may affect consumer acceptance of products. The breast meat of KB chickens with stocking density showed a brighter color than the other densities. The bright colored meat, both inside and surface, is preferred by consumers (De Marchi et al., 2011).

**Temperature-Humidity Index (THI)**

THI is a single value representing the combined effects of air temperature and humidity associated with the level of thermal stress and indices differ in their ability to detect heat stress (Bohmanova et al., 2007). The stocking density increased THI range. However, stocking density did not affect the quality of breast meat. Uncomfortable environments is a controlling factor in energy metabolism. The uncomfortable condition in cages, such as high temperature, will push chickens to remove the heat inside the body through painting. The body temperature of an adult chicken is 40.6-41.7 °C, and the thermoneutral zone that allows chickens to maintain their normal body temperature is 18-24 °C (Olanrewaju et al., 2010).

**CONCLUSION**

The high density increased THI value but did not affect the physical and hedonic quality of KB chicken breast, so it could be accepted by consumers. High density increased TPC, *E. coli*, and *S. aureus* contamination, but *Salmonella* contamination was not found. The meat quality at the stocking density of 10 birds/m² still met the standard of SNI 01-3924-2009.

**REFERENCES**


Sudarman, A., M. Muttaqin, & H. Nuraini. 2014. Penambahan...
sabun-kalsium dari minyak ikan lemuru dalam ransum: 2 pengaruhnya terhadap sifat kimia dan fisik daging domba. JITV 19: 133-139.